Minutes of the Special Meeting of the City of South Tucson Public Safety Personnel Retirement System Fire Local Board, held Wednesday, October 16, 2019, at 12:00 p.m. at the City of South Tucson City Hall, Administration Conference Room, 1601 South 6th Avenue, South Tucson, Arizona.

Board Present: Jerry Marbury, Chairman  
Robert Leyvas, Captain  
John Vidaurri, City Manager  
Andy Luna, Captain

Staff: Veronica Moreno, Board Secretary  
Bobby Yu, City Attorney

Audience: Nate Weber

1. CALL TO ORDER

The meeting was called to order at 12:00 p.m. by Veronica Moreno.

2. ROLL CALL

Board and staff introduced themselves. Victor Soltero was excused.

Ms. Moreno: Okay. And I’d like the record to reflect that the members of the Board are as listed: The Mayor, or Mayor’s designee, is the City Manager, City Manager John Vidaurri. The Chair of the Merit System Committee, Jerry Marbury. Thank you for coming. Captain Leyvas, which is a Fire Department Representative. Andy Luna, who is a Captain with the City of South Tucson and also part of the Board, but because he is the subject matter today, he will have to recuse himself. The next member is Victor Soltero, who is a citizen appointed by the Mayor and City Council. And he just called moments ago and asked to be excused, due to a family emergency. So, at this point, we do have a quorum. So we will go to the next item on the agenda, which is number 3, Pledge of Allegiance.

3. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

4. APPROVAL OF MINUTES: PSPRSB CASE #17-001, NOVEMBER 15, 2017

Ms. Moreno: So next item on the agenda is Item #4, which is approval of the minutes of the last meeting with the Public Safety Personnel Retirement System Board, Case #17-001. Meeting took place November 15, 2017. The minutes are in front of you. And just for the, to let all of you know, our minutes are taken with audio and when they’re transcribed, they’re transcribed verbatim. So everything that we say, all our “ums” and “buts” and “we’s” are, are typed as part of the record on the minutes. So the minutes before you for the meeting on November 15th was for a hearing with the Board regarding Robert Leyvas, who is here with us today, and his
job application, which the Board approved. He’s already in DROP status, but these are the minutes that reflect that action, and the item here is to approve those minutes. So, we would need a motion and a second motion to get those approved.

Chairman Marbury: So I motion to approve the minutes.

Ms. Moreno: Okay. Chair, you’ve made a motion to approve the minutes of November 15th, 2017.

Chairman Marbury: (Inaudible).

Board member Vidaurri: I wasn’t here on November 2017.

Board member Luna: And I am recused, I can’t …

Board member Vidaurri: And you’re recused …

Board member Luna: … yeah.

Board member Leyvas: I second it.

(Simultaneous conversation)

Ms. Moreno: Okay. That’s a majority vote so passes. Vidaurri (inaudible). Okay. Thank you.

5. **HEARING: PSPRSB CASE #19-001 ANDY LUNA, PSPRS – MISSING PENSION YEARS 2011-2013**

Ms. Moreno: This hearing for Case, Public Safety Personnel Retirement System Board Case 19-001, Andy Luna, Mission Pension Years 2011-2013. And if you could please go through your agenda you have before you. Under, I think it’s like the fourth or fifth page in, and it’s a memorandum to the Public Safety Personnel Retirement System Board. Everybody see that? So this is a memorandum to the Public Safety Personnel Retirement System Board from myself, Veronica Moreno, Board Secretary, with today’s date of October 16th, and I’m just gonna go ahead and read it out loud. Good morning, Mr. Chairman and members of the Retirement System Board, on August 12th, 2019, Andy Luna, Captain with the City of South Tucson Fire Department, formerly requested the Board to convene to address missing pension years from 2011 to 2013. Behind this page, you will see the, the Exhibits that are listed on the report. The first Exhibit, and feel free to take your time. I’m not rushing through this. I just want you to be able to identify which Exhibit is which. The first Exhibit is an email dated August 2, 2019, and it’s an email to myself, Veronica Moreno and the Chief, Chief Amado. And the second paragraph there that’s highlighted in yellow, if you want to refer to the first email there, August 2, is a email from Captain Luna asking Veronica Moreno, I formally reque-, I am formally requesting the South Tucson Public Safety Personnel Retirement System Board convene to address my missing pension years between 2011-2013. So that’s a request from Captain Luna to have a hearing with this Board. Exhibit B, as listed on your report, is titled “Luna, Andy email from September 2nd.” I’m going to refer to
Exhibit D there, and it’s titled, “Page four and five, number 31,” unquote, member definition. And the email from Andy Luna is addressed to Veronica stating, “Veronica, attached is some information that might help. Please let me know if there’s anything else you need in order to move forward with this process.” And provided in the email is a document, it’s a two-page document, number four and number five, highlighted is Item 31 Member, A through B, which is a definition under Arizona State Statutes, as it pertains to any employee under the Public Safety Retirement System and the criteria they must meet to become considered a member. If you reference Exhibit C, I was not really sure where that membership criteria came from. So what I did is I referenced the citation under State law. So the citation under State law is under Title 38-842, number 31. So I attached to the sheet here and if you refer to page 6, it’s the same thing that Andy provided, Capt. Luna provided. The only difference is I provide the exact citation from State statute to make sure that it’s referenced in our meeting here. Are there any questions so far?

(No questions from the Board)

Ms. Moreno: I’ll go back to the report. And what I provided after the Exhibit is a summary of Andy’s employment history and when he was hired, up to the current date. So it’ll show you that Andy was hired as a Reserve Fire Fighter. And I’m gonna refer, I refer to him as Andy ‘cause I’ve known him for many years. So, excuse me, it’s Captain Luna. So, my apologies. So, Capt. Luna’s employment history with the City of South Tucson, he was hired as a Reserve Fire Fighter on March 1 of 1998. Second, July 2 of 2001, he was hired as a full-time Fire Fighter. May 24 of 2009, he was reclassified from a Fire Fighter to an Engineer. On July 30 of 2011, he was laid off for reduction in force. The day after that, which was July 31 of 2011, he was hired as a Reserve Fire Fighter up until July 20, 2013 he was rehired as a full-time Fire Captain. On July 19 of 2016, he was demoted to a Fire Fighter and on March 18 of 2018, he was reinstated to a Fire Captain. So that’s a snapshot of his history here, his employment history here at South Tucson. I will pause at that point, and allow Capt. Luna to present his case to the Board.

Capt. Luna: Okay. So we’re here today, well, I’m here today to go over some, some issues, or actually just one, and that’s basically the, the missing years from, from July 13, I mean July of ‘11, 2011, to July 2013. And provided in the documents here, the State statute which Veronica Moreno described in there, states the State statute of, of hours worked for a full-time Fire Fighter, either reclassified or laid off, and put into a Reserve position. So my issue, or my, my request here is basically it’s a request from the years, if you notice on the spreadsheet of my hours worked, I always went over, it was a full-time status of 96 hours, regularly, and at times went into overtime. So if you were to look on that sheet, the spreadsheet there of July of 2011 to 2013, I had worked full-time hours, stayed in the capacity role that I had been working when I was full-time, in that full-time status. And that’s how they hired me. They hired me as a full-time benefitted contributing, contributing member to the PSPRS, and when 2000, July of 2011, ‘cause the City went through some financial hardships, everybody either went, a lot of people went on furloughs. The Fire Department reclassified us, or laid us off, or put us back into a Reserve position, but I never stopped working. I always worked my full-time hours. I always stayed in the capacity, in the job classification that I was in for those entire two years. And after July of 2013, when the City was able to come back and, and stop furloughs and bring back people to full-time position, I was brought back into a full-time position, never missing a day, basically, so I worked from full-time from 2001 to present time. And in those two years, was just a Reserve Fire Fighter, but still worked full-time hours. So, my request, excuse me, is that the City and myself, because in those years, as a portion of
contributions to PS, to the State, PSPRS that I contribute and the City contributes. So what I’m asking today is for the City to pay back or reimburse those, those hours of that time that I spent on a Reserve position and as well as, you know, I understand that there’s a portion that I didn’t put in at that time. Then I would pay that portion as well. So that is my request today, to, to buy back my time, to put me back on the original retirement year that I’m supposed to retire and buy back that time in those two years that, that I didn’t contribute to the PSPRS.

Board member Leyvas: So I make a motion that Mr. Luna get his hours back due to the fact that he did work full-time hours, even though he stayed classified as a Reserve status. And my recommendation is that he be compensated for those years of service back to his normal retirement.

Ms. Moreno: Okay. Are there any questions from any of the Board members regarding what was presented by Capt. Luna?

Chairman Marbury: No, I have (inaudible).

Board member Vidaurri: So we have a motion. Is there a second? And then we can discuss the item. I believe that’s protocol.

Ms. Moreno: Yes.

Chairman Marbury: I would second that. Second, (inaudible) be accepted.

Ms. Moreno: Okay. Discussion.

Board member Vidaurri: Okay. I have a question. Has this been reviewed by Legal? And do we have an opinion to render regarding the matter?

Mr. Yu: That’s a question (inaudible).

Board member Vidaurri: Question for you.

Mr. Yu: I should mention that I am the City’s attorney, not the Board’s attorney, just for the record. And I believe that there’s still some questions that would need to be resolved. For example, if the Board were to grant the request, in terms of the mechanics and the timeframe for the employee and the employer to place funds on deposit, whether or not the Board, and you can make any additional findings regarding the membership definitions for the applicable period, and really, the mechanism for the contribution process that applicant Capt. Luna referenced.

Capt. Luna: Specifically, meaning what? Do you need more information or if you can maybe, I didn’t quite understand that question.
Mr. Yu: Essentially, whether or not the Board would need to make any additional findings to, to, if the Board is granting the request, to make sure that everything is in order.

Capt. Luna: That’s fine with me. If you’re asking if you, you want time for the Board to, to look into this matter further type of thing, and to find out if there’s any other issues in there?

Mr. Yu: In, in part, yes. But really, just making sure that the findings that need to be made are made ...

Capt. Luna: Okay.

Mr. Yu: ... on the record.

Capt. Luna: Alright.

Mr. Yu: If that makes sense.

Capt. Luna: It does. It does. And so if you need more findings, then pretty much it’s documented, what I gave you there. The State statute and how it reads. And the hours worked. You’re saying you, you need more than that?

Mr. Yu: Yes.

Capt. Luna: Okay. Can I ask what, what is it you’re looking for? Maybe I can help you.

Mr. Yu: Well, I can, I can repeat what I said. In terms of the mechanics and timeframe for placing funds on deposit.

Capt. Luna: Okay.

Mr. Yu: You, as the applicant, you referenced the, basically, buying back your time, as well as the employer, the City, reimbursing those hours. And basically how that would look like in terms of the actual process.

Capt. Luna: Okay.

Mr. Yu: And whether or not the Board, if the Board indicates and makes a motion to recognize that you are a member, whether or not there’s anything else that needs to be done for PSPRS to accept it.

Capt. Luna: Okay. Yes, that’s fine.

Chairman Marbury: Could there be an agreement that the Board accepts A.R.S. Statute 38-842 that Veronica found and referenced with his agreement and that the payroll sheets that he supplied are accurate, and that if he wasn’t under any disciplinary action during that two-year timeframe and all this is accurate and, in fact, he
was a full-time employee just under a different definition, or a different classification. And so it’s really just an administrative correction that would marry these, these agreements.

Mr. Yu: That, ...

Chairman Marbury: I can res-, ...

Mr. Yu: ... (inaudible) ...

Chairman Marbury: ... I can restate that.

Mr. Yu: Yes, please.

Chairman Marbury: So under the A.R. Statute 38-842, if he’s a member and if he’s a full-time employee, which this agreement says, when you combine those two, it does correct his retirement, unless this isn’t accurate. ‘Cause if we agree to observe the A.R.S. Statute and the definition, and 6 of the A.R., I do some real estate, I’m a real estate broker so I do A.R.S. Statutes all the time. So the statute is the statute. So if this is a statute with no amendments to the statute, and this is his payroll record, then the only thing that’s wrong is his definition of his employment. So one, and, and he was working as a part-time employee. But with this, he was actually a full-time employee. So he’s due those benefits.

Capt. Leyvas: I agree.

Chairman Marbury: As a full-time employee. So it’s, it’s easy. It’s a easy fix.

Board member Vidaurri: Mr. Chairman, I believe what we’re asking for here is a legal opinion ...

Chairman Marbury: Right.

Board member Vidaurri: ... to come before the Board, a legal opinion to ...

Chairman Marbury: Right.

Board member Vidaurri: ... come before the Board, before the Board takes final action.

Chairman Marbury: Right. And (inaudible) ...

Board member Vidaurri: That’s what ...

Chairman Marbury: ... (inaudible), yeah.

Board member Vidaurri: ... I (inaudible).
Chairman Marbury: And they’ll let, they’ll either ...

Board member Vidaurri: And ...

Chairman Marbury: ... accept this or throw it out.

Board member Vidaurri: And we don’t have a legal opinion at this point, so.

Chairman Marbury: Right.

Board member Vidaurri: Which we hope to have in the very near future ...

Chairman Marbury: Okay.

Board member Vidaurri: ... for the Board to consider prior to taking action on, on the request.

Chairman Marbury: Okay.

Capt. Luna: So, are you waiting for an outside, an attorney, a lawyer, is that what you’re saying?

Mr. Yu: I will look into it further. Although, am I understanding that, that ...

Unknown: (Inaudible) ...

Mr. Yu: ... you’re, ...

Unknown: Oh, go ahead.

Mr. Yu: Is your question whether or not (inaudible).

Chairman Marbury: We’re looking for ...

Mr. Yu: So ...

Chairman Marbury: ... a definition of the A.R.S. Statute 38 as a full-time employee. So, in fact, if he was a full-time employee during that timeframe, which is what, that he’s stating, then, in fact, he was. Unless we can prove that he wasn’t a full-time employee, but we don’t have, even though we gave him a classification that was not a full-time employee, he just proved that we did have him as a full-time employee. So what do, which do we honor? Do we honor the fact that when we called you something that you weren’t, so we’re gonna hold fast to what we called you. And then he shows up and goes, well, really you did call me a janitor, but I was doing this work. You know, how do we, how do you defend that. We can’t defend that.

Mr. Yu: Are you ...
Chairman Marbury: He even has overtime in, in his part-time agreement. So, it’s hard to say no, you’re not entitled to those two years when he’s proven that he did work full-time those two years. And we can argue the statute, but that’s based on the definition that we gave him. Right? So at some, someone should have corrected that. Wal-Mart was sued for that. I mean there’s, there’s cases for, and, and the State of Arizona, right now, doesn’t have the ability to, or the finances to go after employers that don’t honor their employee rights. This is an opportunity for the City to say we’re gonna honor this employee’s rights. Even though he was a part-time Fire Fighter, we’re still gonna treat him like the full-time Fireman that he was and we’re still gonna give him those benefits, you know.

Board member Vidaurri: Mr. Chairman, if I may, (inaudible) Mr. Attorney, do we have all of the information that we need currently, including legal opinion, that we can provide to this Board that would allow the Board to take action at this point in time? Based on the information provided by Capt. Luna.

Chairman Marbury: So in A.R.S. statute …

Mr. Yu: I, I think that there is essentially partial action that can be taken in terms of, and, and I understand the Board’s concerns on that.

Chairman Marbury: Definition of member in the A.R.S. statute is, is right there. So following that definition, it’s, it’s hard to say no. It, you know, you can’t, if that’s the definition and this is his time, then, unless this is fraudulent. Unless this is not factual. You know, or unless he was in some type of, you know, suspense or something with the City, yeah, how do you throw, we need to, you, if, if they deny the retirement, then you can throw this out. But you have, you have to find something in this to say no. If you can’t prove that he was doing something out of line, out of character, in this, in this timeframe, that two year window, I don’t know how you can avoid it.

Mr. Yu: I agree.

Chairman Marbury: Yeah.

Board member Vidaurri: Mr. Chairman, I believe that we need to have an attorney that’s versed in PSPRS and that we should retain outside counsel to address this.

Chairman Marbury: Okay.

Board member Vidaurri: That’s my recommendation.

Chairman Marbury: Yeah. And that attorney might just speak specifically to verify, through H.R., that this is accurate.

Capt. Luna: So basically, it’s a, a second opinion. ‘Cause I would consider him, our attorney for the City, but we’re just gonna get a second opinion to make sure …
Board member Vidaurri: From someone versed in PSPRS. We want to make sure that the, that what the Board approves is what should be approved. That’s all.

Chairman Marbury: Right, right.

Board member Vidaurri: We don’t want to make any mistakes here. And so that’s why I’m suggesting …

Mr. Yu: And that’s what I was alluding to, maybe not (inaudible). But ev-, everything is in order (inaudible).

Board member Vidaurri: Right. And so we just want that PSPRS background to give the Board its opinion and the Board can act and feel comfortable that it did the right thing. That’s all.

Board member Leyvas: The reason I stated to honor the motion is because what I’m seeing here as highlighted, indications that Mr. Luna does meet all the qualifications according to, like the Chairman said, according to his work, hours worked, meeting full-time status. Once again, but if you need to get a second opinion from a Public Safety Retirement attorney, that’s, that’s even better. Just to (inaudible) …

Board member Vidaurri: That, that …

Board member Leyvas: … (inaudible).

Board member Vidaurri: … will clear things up, I …

Board member Leyvas: Right.

Board member Vidaurri: … think, so, if the Board is agreeable to that, well, we have a motion and a second. So, we would need to take action on the motion. And then …

Board member Leyvas: I motion to look into this further, even though we do have facts (inaudible) table, but.

Chairman Marbury: Yeah, I’ll second.

Board member Vidaurri: Roll call.

Ms. Moreno: Okay. Roll call.

Ms. Moreno: Chairman Jerry Marbury.
Chairman Marbury: Here.
Ms. Moreno: Aye or nay on the motion.
Chairman Marbury: Oh. Yeah.
Ms. Moreno: Yes?
Chairman Marbury: Yes.
Board member Leyvas: Yes.
Ms. Moreno: Manager Vidaurri.
Board member Vidaurri: Aye.

Ms. Moreno: Okay. So, we have a unanimous vote on looking into the matter, as Capt. Leyvas mentioned, further. And I don’t know if you’d like to elaborate on what that meant, but what I, based on what the Board discussed, I think it’s getting a, an opinion ...

Board member Vidaurri: An opinion ...

Ms. Moreno: ... from ...

Board member Vidaurri: ... and actually giving us time to lay out the fiscal issues as well, what’s gonna be done in terms of the retirement benefits for that two-year period, and lay it out in numbers so that we can see what the impact of that is, or the Board can see that.

Capt. Luna: So, can I ask if there is a certain timeframe in this, or it’s just when you get to it, or is there a timeframe?

Board member Vidaurri: I would say we need to move quickly and it’s a matter of going ahead and retaining the services of the PSPRS attorney. Or the attorney that has a PSPRS background. And I would have to defer to HR. How long would that process take?

Ms. Moreno: I’m gonna go with as soon as possible. I’ll make ...

Board member Vidaurri: Okay.

Ms. Moreno: ... that a priority. I don’t wanna make any ...

Board member Vidaurri: Good.

Ms. Moreno: ... promises, as far as a date and say, give you a month, and then the attorney that we consult with has a busy schedule. But what I will do is I will keep Capt. Luna apprised of the status so that he knows where we’re at. I don’t wanna just leave him with just wondering where are we on the matter, so I’ll keep him apprised. And I’ll definitely send a, a notification to the Board members as well.

Board member Vidaurri: Okay. And I trust that we’ll be doing this as quickly as possible.

Ms. Moreno: Yes.

Board member Vidaurri: Okay.
Capt. Luna: Okay.

Ms. Moreno: If there is no other discussion, we can move onto item number 8.

Mr. Weber: Is there any public input or discussion?

Ms. Moreno: I’ll ask Chairman for permission.

Chairman Marbury: (Inaudible).

Mr. Weber: Again, my name is Nate Weber. I’m here on Andy’s behalf of the Tucson Fire Fighters Association. Just, just to clarify a little bit of what Andy has provided. I appreciate the time, thought, and the input from the Board. And Mr. Manager, and members of the Board, if I could, I just want to speak to the fact that Andy was a full-time Fire Fighter before. The City was contributing to his pension before. They’re, once again, contributing to his pension, again, as a full-time member. I appreciate the due diligence of getting a second opinion of, of another attorney. However, that’s gonna cost some time and money as well. I will tell you that the information that, that Capt. Luna provided came directly from PSPRS. They were the ones that pointed us to that State statute, which is what they use to determine eligibility of a PSPRS member. Not to mention the fact that he was a contributing, full-fledged eligible member before. So that did come from PSPRS. And I know that he’s provided his time. The time that he’s provided as far as any question of the time and hours worked, that came directly from the City of South Tucson H.R. Department which pulled his time. That was not anything that Capt. Luna (inaudible). As far as the fiscal part of it, sir, I definitely respect and appreciate that as well. I think if the Board were to take action on this today, I think it’s something that, quite honestly, the City Attorney then would work with PSPRS as far as like the funding and how that works, how much time you have to pay that back, how those hours are then purchased back, which, again, just as Capt. Luna presented, it’s also gonna financially impact him because he’s gonna have to pay back his employee contribution fees. So he, then, is gonna have to work with PSPRS as well to find out what his timeframe is and, and the system that’s available for him to pay that back. So there is, there, it really does affect both the City and Capt. Luna to get this two years back.

Chairman Marbury: So maybe in the next meeting, have an accounting as well to find out what that looks like.

Ms. Moreno: I’m sorry. Say that again.

Chairman Marbury: An accounting of what the City’s contribution would be, and what his ...

Ms. Moreno: Right.

Chairman Marbury: ... contribution would be at the same time, because they’re probably gonna do a withholding on what you’re receiving right now to pay that in. So, maybe it should, since you were classified as a, as a part-time employee, they pro-, they wanna do any withholding. So let’s say that withholding was 1% and the City would be matching that 1%. So since the City wasn’t doing a contribution, and you weren’t doing a contribution, there, there ha-, they have to balance that. So, we, we would need additional time to look at
what that structure looks like, and have them, and define that and then actually show that to you, and how that would impact you, so it’s gonna cost you and the City. And, and over time, what’s that gonna look like?

Capt. Luna: So that information will be included?

Ms. Moreno: Right.

Board member Vidaurri: Prior to the next meeting ...

Ms. Moreno: Yes.

Board member Vidaurri: ... for the Board to review.

Ms. Moreno: Yes. So I’m just gonna just do a, a little summary of what my job is to do, moving forward, after today. So, as of, as of the motion that was just stated now, we are going, the City is going to get a second opinion from an expert attorney under Public Safety Personnel Retirement System. And as requested by Chairman Marbury, and I definitely agree to get a report, basically, identifying the amount that would be, ...

Chairman Marbury: Should have been withheld.

Ms. Moreno: ... should’ve been withheld from Capt. Luna’s payroll during the timeframe from 2011 to 2013, so we can get a true number as to how much from both the City and Capt. Luna would we ...

Chairman Marbury: Would be matching funds. If they’re, if they’re, and I don’t know that system at all. So, I don’t know if there is match, if there was a required ...

Ms. Moreno: Right.

Chairman Marbury: ... matching funds. But if there were, however they, however they do their accounting, we just want to know that.

Ms. Moreno: Right.

Chairman Marbury: Yeah. ‘Cause there could be tax implications and ...

Ms. Moreno: Oh, yeah.

Chairman Marbury: ... everything else that goes along with that.

Ms. Moreno: Okay.
Chairman Marbury: I don’t know if City employees even do social security, the o-, the fifteen, the seven and a half, seven and a half, 15% tax. But outside normal employees not, like the City of Tucson self-insures. But I don’t know if South Tucson self-insures for retirement.

Ms. Moreno: No, we, we a-, the civilian employees that are not uniformed, who are basically not Public Safety, have the State, Arizona State Retirement System. Right.

Chairman Marbury: Okay. Yeah, so it’s, it’s a, then it has to be kind of ...

Ms. Moreno: Right.

Chairman Marbury: … married, yeah.

Ms. Moreno: Any other comments, or questions, or, from any of the Board members, or Mr. Weber, or Capt. Luna before we move onto item number 8?

Mr. Weber: I appreciate the time to speak. I think it might, the, the PSPRS system and their personnel at State are very helpful. I think it might warrant or be helpful just to call PSPRS before you spend too much money on accounting or another attorney. I’m sure that they can get you that information pretty, pretty easily.

Chairman Marbury: I, I agree. So item number 8 is Other Business.

6. **ACTION: PSPRSB CASE #19-001 ANDLY LUNA, PSPRS – MISSING PENSION YEARS 2011-2013**

7. **NEW BUSINESS**

   1. NONE

8. **OTHER BUSINESS**

   1. NONE

Chairman Marbury: There’s none. So, we just go to number 9 then. Shall ...

Ms. Moreno: Yes.

Chairman Marbury: So we just adjourn?

Ms. Moreno: Yes.
9. **ADJOURNMENT**

Motion by Chairman Marbury to adjourn the meeting. Seconded by Board member Vidaurri. Motion passed unanimously. The meeting adjourned at 12:38 p.m.

_______________________________________

**ATTEST:**

_____________________________________

Jerry Marbury, Chairman

**CERTIFICATION**

I hereby certify that the foregoing minutes are a true and correct copy of the minutes of the Special Meeting of the City of South Tucson Public Safety Personnel Retirement System Fire Local Board, held on the 16th day of October, 2019. I further certify the meeting was duly called and a quorum was present.

Dated this ________ day of ________________________, 2019.

_____________________________________

Veronica Moreno, City Clerk/Board Secretary