# CITY OF SOUTH TUCSON, ARIZONA ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2015

#### CITY OF SOUTH TUCSON, ARIZONA ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2015

#### Table of Contents

Independent Auditors' Report	1 - 3
Required Supplementary Information - Management's Discussion and Analysis	7 - 14
Basic Financial Statements:	
Government-Wide Statements:	
Statement of Net Position.	16
Statement of Activities	17
Fund Financial Statements:	
Governmental Funds	
Balance Sheet	18 - 19
Reconciliation of the Balance Sheet to the Statement of Net Position	21
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances	22 - 23
Reconciliation of the Statement of Revenues, Expenditures,	
and Changes in Fund Balances to the Statement of Activities	24
Fiduciary Funds	
Statement of Fiduciary Net Position.	25
Statement of Changes in Fiduciary Net Position	26
Notes to Financial Statements.	27 - 56
Other Required Supplementary Information:	
Budgetary Comparison Schedules	58 - 63
Schedule of Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability	64
Schedule of Changes in the Net Pension Liability and Related Ratios - Agent Pension	n Plans65 - 66
Schedule of Pension Contributions	67
Notes to Pension Plan Schedules	68
Schedule of Agent OPEB Plans' Funding Progress	69
Notes to Schedule of Agent OPEB Plans' Funding Progress	70





Certified Public Accountants 4001 North 3rd Street Suite 275 Phoenix, AZ 85012-2086

Tel: (602) 264-3077 Fax: (602) 265-6241

#### **INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT**

To the Honorable Mayor and City Council of the City of South Tucson, Arizona

#### **Reports on the Financial Statements**

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the governmental activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of South Tucson, Arizona (the City), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2015, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents.

#### Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error

#### **Auditors' Responsibility**

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditors' judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditors consider internal control relevant to the City's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.

#### **Opinions**

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the governmental activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of South Tucson, Arizona, as of June 30, 2015, and the respective changes in financial position thereof for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

#### **Emphasis of Matter**

As discussed in Note 1 to the financial statements, for the year ended June 30, 2015, the City adopted new accounting guidance, Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement (GASB) No. 68, Accounting and Financial Reporting for Pensions, as amended by GASB Statement No. 71, Pension Transition for Contributions Made Subsequent to the Measurement Date. In addition, the City restated the beginning net position of its financial statements for the year ended June 30, 2015, to correct a misstatement in its previously issued financial statements. Our opinions are not modified with respect to these matters.

#### **Other Matters**

Required Supplementary Information

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the Management's Discussion and Analysis on pages 7 through 14, Budgetary Comparison Schedules on pages 58 through 63, Schedule of Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability on page 64, Schedule of Changes in the Net Pension Liability and Related Ratios - Agent Pension Plan on pages 65 through 66, Schedule of Pension Contributions on page 67, and Schedule of Agent OPEB Funding Progress on page 69 be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

Compliance Over the Use of Highway User Revenue Fund and Other Dedicated State Transportation Revenue Monies

In connection with our audit, nothing came to our attention that caused us to believe that the City failed to use highway user revenue fund monies received by the City pursuant to Arizona Revised Statutes Title 28, Chapter 18, Article 2, and any other dedicated state transportation revenues received by the City solely for the authorized transportation purposes, insofar as they relate to accounting matters. However,

our audit was not directed primarily toward obtaining knowledge of such noncompliance. Accordingly, had we performed additional procedures, other matters may have come to our attention regarding the City's noncompliance with the use of highway user revenue fund monies and other dedicated state transportation revenues, insofar as they relate to accounting matters.

The communication related to compliance over the use of highway user revenue fund and other dedicated state transportation revenue monies in the preceding paragraph is intended solely for the information and use of the members of the Arizona State Legislature, the City Council, management, and other responsible parties within the City and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

#### Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated March 29, 2016, on our consideration of the City's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

March 29, 2016

Ester & Chapman P.C.



#### MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (MD&A)

(Required Supplementary Information)



#### MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (MD&A)

#### YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2015

As management of the City of South Tucson, Arizona (City), we offer readers of the City's financial statements this narrative overview and analysis of the financial activities of the City for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015.

#### FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS

The financial statements which follow the Management's Discussion and Analysis provide those significant key financial highlights for 2014-15 as follows.

- The City's total net position of governmental activities decreased from \$20.2 million to \$7.0 million primarily due to implementation of GASB No. 68.
- General revenues from governmental activities accounted for \$4.0 million in revenue, or 52 percent of all revenues from governmental activities. Program specific revenues in the form of charges for services and grants and contributions accounted for \$3.7 million or 48 percent of total governmental activities revenues.
- The City had \$10.5 million in expenses related to governmental activities, an increase of \$1,507,613, or 16 percent from the prior fiscal year.
- Among major funds, the General Fund had \$4.7 million in revenues, which primarily consisted of City sales taxes and intergovernmental revenues. The total expenditures of the General Fund were \$4.9 million. The General Fund's fund balance decreased from \$943,762 to \$757,731.
- The Housing Fund had \$1.8 million in revenues which primarily consisted of intergovernmental revenues. The total expenditures of the Housing Fund were \$2.1 million.
- The Housing Fund reported a fund balance decrease of \$265,609.
- The total expenditures of the CDBG Fund were \$191,143. The CDBG Fund reported a fund balance decrease of \$9,320.
- The total expenditures of the Youth Center Operations Fund were \$117,939. The Youth Operations Center Fund reported a fund balance increase of \$108,061.
- The RICO Fund had \$101,791 in revenues which primarily consisted of intergovernmental revenues. The total expenditures of the RICO Fund were \$211,991. The RICO Fund reported a fund balance decrease of \$110,200.

#### OVERVIEW OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

This discussion and analysis are intended to serve as an introduction to the City's basic financial statements. The City's basic financial statements comprise three components: 1) government-wide financial statements, 2) fund financial statements, and 3) notes to the financial statements. This report also contains other supplementary information in addition to the basic financial statements themselves.

**Government-wide financial statements**. The government-wide financial statements are designed to provide readers with a broad overview of the City's finances, in a manner similar to a private-sector business. The accrual basis of accounting is used for the government-wide financial statements.

The statement of net position presents information on all of the City's assets, deferred outflows of resources, liabilities and deferred inflows of resources, with the difference between the two reported as net position. Over time, increases or decreases in net position may serve as a useful indicator of whether the financial position of the City is improving or deteriorating.

### CITY OF SOUTH TUCSON, ARIZONA MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (MD&A) YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2015

The statement of activities presents information showing how the City's net position changed during the most recent fiscal year. All changes in net position are reported as soon as the underlying event giving rise to the change occurs, regardless of the timing of related cash flows. Thus, revenues and expenses are reported in this statement for some items that will only result in cash flows in future fiscal periods (e.g., uncollected taxes and earned but unused compensated absences).

In 2015, the City implemented Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 68, Accounting and Financial Reporting for Pensions. The objective of this Statement is to improve accounting and financial reporting for pensions. The City does not offer its own pension plan, but instead participates in the Arizona State Retirement System (ASRS) which administers a cost-sharing, multiple-employer, defined benefit pension plan, and the Public Safety Personnel Retirement System (PSPRS), which administers an agent, multiple-employer, defined benefit pension plan. Thus, the majority of the information required to implement GASB Statement No. 68 was provided by the ASRS and PSPRS in their audited Schedules of Employer Allocations and Schedule of Pension Amounts by Employer.

As part of the implementation, the City recorded an adjustment to fiscal year ended June 30, 2014 to reflect a net pension liability of \$9,980,193 and a corresponding decrease in unrestricted net position. This liability will be adjusted each fiscal year from 2014 forward.

GASB Statement No. 68 also mandates that the following amounts related to pensions be recognized and classified as either deferred outflows of resources or deferred inflows of resources accordingly:

- Differences between expected and actual experience in the measurement of the total pension liability;
- Changes of assumptions or other inputs;
- Net difference between projected and actual earnings on pension plan investments;
- Changes in the employer's proportion and differences between the employer's contributions (other than those to separately finance specific liabilities of the individual employer to the pension plan) and the employer's proportionate share of contributions; and
- Contributions to the pension plan from the employer subsequent to the measurement date of the collective net pension liability and before the end of the employer's reporting period should be reported as a deferred outflow of resources related to pensions.

Thus, effective with fiscal year 2015, the City's Statement of Net Position reflects deferred outflows and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions. Note 11 to the financial statements provides additional information about the City's pension obligation.

The government-wide financial statements outline functions of the City that are principally supported by sales taxes and intergovernmental revenues. The governmental activities of the City include general government, public safety, highways and streets, culture and recreation, redevelopment and housing, and economic development.

#### MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (MD&A)

#### YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2015

**Fund Financial Statements**. A fund is a grouping of related accounts that is used to maintain control over resources that have been segregated for specific activities or objectives. The City uses fund accounting to ensure and demonstrate compliance with finance-related legal requirements. All of the funds of the City can be divided into two categories: governmental funds and fiduciary funds.

Governmental funds. Governmental funds are used to account for essentially the same functions reported as governmental activities in the government-wide financial statements. However, unlike the government-wide financial statements, governmental fund financial statements use the modified accrual basis of accounting and focus on near-term inflows of spendable resources, as well as on balances of spendable resources available at the end of the fiscal year. Such information may be useful in evaluating the City's near-term financing requirements.

Because the focus of governmental funds is narrower than that of the government-wide financial statements, it is useful to compare the information presented for governmental funds with similar information presented for governmental activities in the government-wide financial statements. By doing so, readers may better understand the long-term impact of the City's near-term financing decisions. Both the governmental fund balance sheet and the governmental fund statement of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances provide a reconciliation to facilitate this comparison between governmental funds and governmental activities.

Information is presented separately in the governmental fund balance sheet and in the governmental fund statement of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances for the General, Housing, Youth Operations Center, and RICO Fund, all of which are considered to be major funds. Data from the other governmental funds are combined into a single, aggregated presentation. Individual fund data for each of these non-major governmental funds is provided in the form of combining schedules.

**Fiduciary funds**. Fiduciary funds are used to account for resources held for the benefit of parties outside the City. Fiduciary funds are not reflected in the government-wide financial statements because the resources of those funds are not available to support the City's own programs. The fiduciary funds financial statements are reported on the accrual basis of accounting, but due to their custodial nature, the fiduciary funds do not have a measurement focus.

**Notes to the financial statements**. The notes provide additional information that is essential to a full understanding of the data provided in the government-wide and fund financial statements. The notes to the financial statements can be found immediately following the basic financial statements.

**Other information**. In addition to the basic financial statements and accompanying notes, this report also presents certain required supplementary information concerning the City's budget process. The City adopts an annual expenditure budget for all governmental funds. A schedule of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances - budget and actual has been provided for the General Fund and major Special Revenue Funds as required supplementary information.

### CITY OF SOUTH TUCSON, ARIZONA MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (MD&A) YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2015

#### GOVERNMENT-WIDE FINANCIAL ANALYSIS

Net position may serve over time as a useful indicator of a government's financial position. In the case of the City, assets exceeded liabilities by \$7.0 million at the current fiscal year end.

The largest portion of the City's net position reflects its investment in capital assets (e.g., land, land improvements; buildings and improvements; infrastructure; machinery, equipment, and vehicles; and construction in progress), less any related debt used to acquire those assets that is still outstanding. The City uses these capital assets to provide services to its citizens; consequently, these assets are not available for future spending. Although the City's investment in its capital assets is reported net of related debt, it should be noted that the resources needed to repay this debt must be provided from other sources, since the capital assets themselves cannot be used to liquidate these liabilities.

The following table presents a summary of the City's net position for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2015 and June 30, 2014.

	Governmental Activities					
	2015	2014				
Current and other assets	\$ 1,755,685	\$ 2,221,561				
Capital assets, net	25,121,350	27,437,971				
Total assets, net	26,877,035	29,659,532				
Deferred outflows of resources	1,970,959					
Current liabilities	894,905	936,534				
Long-term liabilities	20,425,787	8,483,083				
Total liabilities	21,320,692	9,419,617				
Deferred inflows of resources	535,897					
Net investment in capital assets	18,122,389	20,272,243				
Restricted	1,028,941	1,256,551				
Unrestricted	(12,159,925)	(1,288,879)				
Total net position	\$ <u>6,991,405</u>	20,239,915				

The City's financial position is the product of several financial transactions including the net results of activities, the acquisition and payment of debt, the acquisition of capital assets, and the depreciation of capital assets. The following are significant current year transactions that had an impact on the Statement of Net Position.

- The decrease of current liabilities in the amount of \$41,629 primarily due to growth in accounts payable.
- The reduction of \$2,316,621 million in capital assets is due to depreciation expense and adjustments to beginning accumulated depreciation.

#### MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (MD&A)

#### YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2015

**Changes in net position.** The City's total revenues for the current fiscal year were \$7.8 million. The total cost of all programs and services was \$10.5 million. The following table presents a summary of the changes in net position for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2015 and June 30, 2014.

#### Statement of Activities - Governmental Activities

	Year Ended June 30,			
		2015		2014
Revenues:		_		
Program revenues:				
Charges for services	\$	992,831	\$	951,685
Operating grants and contributions		2,789,381		2,918,700
Capital grants and contributions				38,901
General revenues:				
Property taxes		120,559		647,670
City sales tax		2,276,260		1,750,828
State shared revenues		1,438,310		1,380,488
Investment income		5,538		1,175
Sales of capital assets				275,500
Miscellaneous		206,379		149,925
Special item	_			806,155
Total revenues	_	7,829,258	_	8,921,027
Expenses:				
General government		1,791,319		1,817,576
Public safety		4,503,600		3,110,159
Highways and streets		1,189,427		1,241,338
Culture and recreation		117,939		136,554
Redevelopment and housing		2,453,502		2,230,022
Economic developments		6,893		1,968
Interest on long-term debt		466,432	_	483,882
Total expenses	_	10,529,112	_	9,021,499
Change in net position		(2,699,854)		(100,472)
Net position, beginning, as restated	_	9,691,259		20,340,387
Net position, ending	\$	6,991,405	\$_	20,239,915

- Total governmental activities revenues decreased \$1.1 million, or 12.8 percent mainly due to one time and special revenues that did not reoccur.
- Operating grants and contributions revenues decreased \$177,300 primarily due to reduced federal housing grant revenues to the South Tucson Housing Authority.
- Sales tax revenue and state shared revenues increased \$583,254 due to sales tax revenue increases.
- Property tax revenues decreased \$527,111 primarily due to discontinued secondary property taxes.

#### MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (MD&A)

#### YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2015

**Governmental activities.** The following table presents the cost of the City's functional activities. The table also shows each function's net cost (total cost less changes for services generated by the activities and intergovernmental aid provided for specific programs). The net cost shows the financial burden that was placed on the City's taxpayers by each of these functions.

	2015				2014				
			N	et (Expense)/			Net (Expense)/		
Governmental Activities	Total Expenses		Revenue		Total Expenses			Revenue	
General government	\$	1,791,319	\$	(1,456,720)	\$	1,817,576	\$	(1,530,444)	
Public safety		4,503,600		(3,796,223)		3,110,159		(2,128,571)	
Highways and streets		1,189,427		(598,731)		1,241,338		(854,047)	
Culture and recreation		117,939		206,850		136,554		75,235	
Redevelopment and housing		2,453,502		(628,751)		2,230,022		(188,536)	
Economic development	6,893			(6,893)		1,968		(1,968)	
Interest on long-term debt	_	466,432	_	(466,432)		483,882	_	(483,882)	
Total	\$_	10,529,112	\$_	(6,746,900)	\$_	9,021,499	\$_	(5,112,213)	

- Federal and state governments and charges for services subsidized certain governmental programs with revenues of \$3.7 million.
- Net cost of governmental activities of \$6.7 million was financed by general revenues, which are made up of primarily City sales tax and state shared revenues of \$3.8 million.

#### FINANCIAL ANALYSIS OF THE CITY'S FUNDS

**Governmental funds.** The focus of the City's governmental funds is to provide information on near-term inflows, outflows, and balances of spendable resources. Such information is useful in assessing the City's financing requirements. In particular, unassigned fund balance may serve as a useful measure of the City's net resources available for spending at the end of the fiscal year.

The financial performance of the City as a whole is reflected in its governmental funds. As the City completed the year, its governmental funds reported a combined fund balance of \$860,781, a decrease of \$424,246 or 33 percent.

The General Fund is the principal operating fund of the City. The decrease in fund balance of \$186,031 from \$943,762 as of fiscal year end was a result of transfer out to other governmental funds and the loss of other funding sources.

The fund balance decreased \$265,609 in the Housing Fund to \$237,848 as of fiscal year end. Housing Fund expenditures increased \$138,251 primarily as a result of increased operating, maintenance and repair costs.

### CITY OF SOUTH TUCSON, ARIZONA MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (MD&A) YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2015

#### **BUDGETARY HIGHLIGHTS**

A schedule showing the original and final budget amounts compared to the City's actual financial activity for the General Fund is provided in this report as required supplementary information. The significant variances are summarized as follows:

- The unfavorable variance of \$191,850 in City sales tax revenues was due to the delayed implementation of the privilege tax increase.
- The unfavorable variance of \$160,706 in charge for services was a result of not executing the implementation of an ambulance service.

#### CAPITAL ASSETS AND DEBT ADMINISTRATION

<u>Capital Assets</u> As of year end, the City had invested \$25.1 million in capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation. This amount represents a net increase of \$262,799 prior to depreciation. Total depreciation expense for the current fiscal year was \$1.4 million.

The following schedule presents capital asset balances for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2015 and June 30, 2014.

		Governmental Activities					
		2014					
Capital assets - non-depreciable	\$	315,962	\$	315,962			
Capital assets - depreciable, net	_	24,805,388	_	27,122,009			
Total capital assets, net	\$_	25,121,350	\$_	27,437,971			

Additional information on the City's capital assets can be found in Note 5.

<u>Debt Administration</u> At year end, the City had \$7.9 million in long-term debt outstanding, excluding net pension liabilities and claims and judgment payables. The following table presents a summary of the City's outstanding long-term debt for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2015 and June 30, 2014.

Governmental Activities					
2015			2014		
\$	6,779,738	\$	6,892,456		
	219,223		273,272		
	984,560	_	984,560		
\$	7,983,521	\$	8,150,288		
	\$ \$	2015 \$ 6,779,738 219,223 984,560	2015 \$ 6,779,738 \$ 219,223 984,560		

Additional information on the City's long-term debt can be found in Notes 6 through 7.

### CITY OF SOUTH TUCSON, ARIZONA MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (MD&A) YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2015

#### ECONOMIC FACTORS AND NEXT YEAR'S BUDGET AND RATES

City management considered many factors in the process of developing the operating budget for the fiscal year 2015-16. The most significant factors affecting the subsequent year's budget are:

- City sales tax growth trends
- Increased costs for public safety

#### CONTACTING THE CITY'S FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT

This financial report is designed to provide our citizens, taxpayers, customers, and investors and creditors with a general overview of the City's finances and to demonstrate the City's accountability for the resources it receives. If you have questions about this report or need additional information, contact the Finance Department, 1601 South 6th Avenue, South Tucson, Arizona 85713.

#### BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### STATEMENT OF NET POSITION

JUNE 30, 2015

	Governmental Activities
<u>ASSETS</u>	
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 623,223
Accounts receivable	9,546
Due from governmental entities	471,909
Inventory	5,106
Prepaid items	26,644
Restricted investments	603,950
Restricted cash held by other governments	15,307
Capital assets, non-depreciable	315,962
Capital assets, being depreciated, net	24,805,388
Total assets	26,877,035
DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES	
Deferred outflows related to pensions	1,970,959
LIABILITIES	
Accounts payable	668,170
Accrued payroll and employee benefits	176,771
Customer deposits	35,282
Unearned revenue	14,682
Noncurrent liabilities:	
Due within one year	660,357
Due in more than one year	19,765,430
Total liabilities	21,320,692
DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES	
Deferred inflows related to pensions	535,897
NET POSITION	
Net investment in capital assets	18,122,389
Restricted for:	
Public safety	62,479
Highways and streets	120,670
Redevelopment housing	237,848
Economic development	3,994
Debt service	603,950
Unrestricted	(12,159,925)
Total net position	\$ 6,991,405

#### CITY OF SOUTH TUCSON, ARIZONA STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2015

		Program Revenues	Net (Expense) Revenue and Changes in Net Position
		Operation	_
T	<b>.</b>	Charges for Grants a	
Functions/Programs	Expenses	Services Contribut	ions Activities
Governmental activities:	Φ 1.701.210	Ф 227.626 Ф	072
General government	\$ 1,791,319		,973 \$ (1,456,720)
Public safety Highways and streets	4,503,600 1,189,427	*	,555 (3,796,223) ,696 (598,731)
Culture and recreation	117,939		,696 (598,731) ,000 206,850
Redevelopment and housing	2,453,502	356,594 1,468	
Economic development	6,893	330,374 1,400	(6,893)
Interest on long-term debt	466,432		(466,432)
Total governmental activities	\$ 10,529,112	\$ 992,831 \$ 2,789	
	ses 120,559 2,276,260 516,529		
		vehicle license tax	225,032
	Urban revent	<u> </u>	696,749
	Investment e Miscellaneou	•	5,538 206,379
		eneral revenues	4,047,046
	10tai gi	Change in net position	(2,699,854)
	Net position, Jul	y 1, 2014, as restated	9,691,259
	Net position, Jur	ne 30, 2015	\$ <u>6,991,405</u>

## CITY OF SOUTH TUCSON, ARIZONA BALANCE SHEET - GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS JUNE 30, 2015

	General Fund		]	Housing Fund		CDBG Fund	
<u>ASSETS</u>							
Cash and investments	\$	173,994	\$	329,925	\$	29,618	
Investments - restricted		603,950					
Cash held by other governments							
Accounts receivable		9,546					
Due from governmental entities		195,718				194,995	
Due from other funds		410,177					
Inventory				5,106			
Prepaid items				26,644			
Total assets	\$	1,393,385	\$	361,675	\$	224,613	
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCES							
Liabilities:							
Accounts payable	\$	329,786	\$	74,044	\$	161,361	
Accrued payroll and employee benefits		144,510		14,501		3,540	
Due to other funds		149,786				125,230	
Customer deposits				35,282			
Unearned revenue		11,572					
Total liabilities	_	635,654	_	123,827		290,131	
Fund balances (deficits):							
Nonspendable				31,750			
Restricted		603,950		206,098			
Unassigned	_	153,781	_		_	(65,518)	
Total fund balances (deficits)	_	757,731	_	237,848		(65,518)	
Total liabilities and fund balances	\$	1,393,385	\$	361,675	\$	224,613	

_	Youth			_			
C	perations				Ion-Major	_	Total
	Center		RICO	Go	vernmental	Go	overnmental
	Fund		Fund		Funds		Funds
\$	68,326	\$	10,150	\$	11,210	\$	623,223
							603,950
			15,307				15,307
					3,415		12,961
			22,664		55,117		468,494
					181,078		591,255
							5,106
_							26,644
\$	68,326	\$	48,121	\$	250,820	\$_	2,346,940
						_	
\$	58,486	\$	25,149	\$	19,343	\$	668,169
	•		ŕ		14,220		176,771
	109,032		180,204		27,003		591,255
	•		ŕ		•		35,282
					3,110		14,682
	167,518		205,353		63,676		1,486,159
							31,750
					187,144		997,192
_	(99,192)	_	(157,232)	_	<u> </u>	_	(168,161)
	(99,192)		(157,232)		187,144		860,781
\$	68,326	\$	48,121	\$	250,820	\$	2,346,940
_							



### RECONCILIATION OF THE BALANCE SHEET TO THE STATEMENT OF NET POSITION ${\tt JUNE~30,~2015}$

Fund balances - total governmental funds		\$ 860,781
Amounts reported for governmental activities in the Statement of Net Position are different because:		
Capital assets used in governmental activities are not financial resources and therefore, are not reported in the funds.		
Governmental capital assets	\$ 48,318,908	
Less accumulated depreciation	(23,197,558)	25,121,350
Deferred outflows and inflows of resources related to pensions are applicable to future reporting periods and, therefore, are not reported in the funds.		1,435,061
Some liabilities, including bonds and compensated absences, are not due and payable in the current period and therefore, are not		
reported in the funds.		
Compensated absences	194,655	
Net pension liabilities	11,997,611	
Revenue bonds payable	6,779,738	
Capital leases	219,223	
Claims and judgments	250,000	

984,560

(20,425,787)

\$ 6,991,405

Other commitments

Net position of governmental activities

## CITY OF SOUTH TUCSON, ARIZONA STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2015

		General Fund		Housing Fund		CDBG Fund
REVENUES						
City sales taxes	\$	2,276,260				
Property taxes		120,559				
Licenses and permits		327,626				
Intergovernmental		1,438,310	\$	1,468,157	\$	181,823
Charges for services		99,124				
Fines and forfeits		209,822				
Investment earnings		5,485		53		
Rents				341,218		
Miscellaneous	_	194,922	_	15,041	_	
Total revenues		4,672,108		1,824,469		181,823
EXPENDITURES						
Current:						
General government		1,286,320				
Public safety		2,831,091				
Highways and streets		95,502				
Culture and recreation						
Redevelopment and housing				2,090,078		191,143
Economic development						,
Capital outlay		23,140				
Debt service:		-,				
Principal retirement		160,893				
Interest and fiscal charges		461,193				
Total expenditures		4,858,139		2,090,078		191,143
Excess (deficiency) of revenues						
over (under) expenditures	_	(186,031)	_	(265,609)	_	(9,320)
Net change in fund balances		(186,031)		(265,609)		(9,320)
Fund balances (deficits), July 1, 2014	_	943,762	_	503,457		(56,198)
Fund balances (deficits), June 30, 2015	\$	757,731	\$	237,848	\$	(65,518)

	Non-major	Total		
RICO	Governmental	Governmental		
Fund	Funds	Funds		
		\$ 2,276,260		
		120,559		
		327,626		
\$ 83,560	\$ 811.610	4,209,460		
ψ 03,200	φ 011,010	99,124		
		209,822		
		5,538		
		341,218		
18 231	11 457	239,651		
		7,829,258		
101,771	023,007	7,027,230		
		1,286,320		
158,050	178,651	3,167,792		
,		536,596		
	,	117,939		
		2,281,221		
	6.893	6,893		
3,088	,	183,804		
,	,	,		
45,614		206,507		
5,239		466,432		
211,991	784,214	8,253,504		
(110,200)	38,853	(424,246)		
(110,200)	38,853	(424,246)		
(47,032)	148,291	1,285,027		
\$ (157.232)	\$ <u>187,144</u>	\$ <u>860,781</u>		
	Fund \$ 83,560  \$ 18,231 101,791  158,050  3,088 45,614 5,239 211,991 (110,200) (110,200)	RICO Funds  \$ 83,560 \$ 811,610  \[ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc		

### RECONCILIATION OF THE STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES TO THE STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2015

Amounts reported for gover	nmental activities in the S	statement of	
Activities are different be	cause:		

Governmental funds report capital outlays as expenditures. However, in the Statement of Activities, the cost of those assets is allocated over their estimated useful lives and reported as depreciation expense.

Net change in fund balances - total governmental funds

Capital outlay \$ 262,799

Depreciation expense (1,423,208) (1,160,409)

Pension contributions are reported as expenditures in the governmental funds when made. However, they are reported as deferred outflows of resources in the Statement of Net Position because the reported net pension liability is measured a year before the City's report date. Pension expense, which is the change in the net pension liability adjusted for changes in deferred outflows and inflows of resources related to pension, is reported in the Statement of Activities.

(1,170,106)

(424,246)

Debt proceeds provide current financial resources to governmental funds, but issuing debt increases long-term liabilities in the Statement of Net Position. Repayment of principal of long-term debt consumes the current financial resources of governmental funds. However, these payments have no effect on net position. This amount is the effect of difference in the treatment of repayments of long-term debt and related items.

Capital leases 54,049
Revenue bond payable 145,000 199,049

Under the modified accrual basis accounting used in the governmental funds, expenditures are not recognized for transactions that are not normally paid with expendable available resources. In the Statement of Activities, however, which is presented on the accrual basis of accounting, expenses and liabilities are reported regardless of when the financial resources are available.

Amortization of bond discount

Claims and judgments

Compensated absences

(32,282)

(102,479)

(144,142)

Change in net position of governmental activities \$\(\(\frac{2,699,854}{}\)

### CITY OF SOUTH TUCSON, ARIZONA STATEMENT OF FIDUCIARY NET POSITION JUNE 30, 2015

	Pension Trust		
ASSETS Cash and cash equivalents Total assets	\$	95,591 95,591	
NET POSITION Held in trust	\$	95,591	

## CITY OF SOUTH TUCSON, ARIZONA STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN FIDUCIARY NET POSITION YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2015

	Pension Trust
ADDITIONS: Contributions Total additions	\$ <u>30,947</u> 30,947
DEDUCTIONS: Benefits Total deductions	50,825 50,825
Change in net position	(19,878)
Net position, July 1, 2014 Net position, June 30, 2015	115,397 \$ 95,519

JUNE 30, 2015

#### NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The financial statements of the City of South Tucson, Arizona (the City) have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, as applied to governmental units. The Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) is the accepted standard-setting body for establishing governmental accounting and financial reporting principles.

For the year ended June 30, 2015, the City implemented the provisions of GASB Statement No. 68, Accounting and Financial Reporting for Pensions, as amended by GASB Statement No. 71, Pension Transition for Contributions Made Subsequent to the Measurement Date. GASB Statement Nos 68 and 71 establish standards for measuring and recognizing net pension (assets and) liabilities, deferred outflows of resources, deferred inflows of resources, and expenses/expenditures related to pension benefits provided through defined benefit pension plans. In addition, Statement No. 68 requires disclosure of information related to pension benefits.

A summary of the City's more significant accounting policies follows.

#### A. Financial Reporting Entity

The City is a municipal entity that is governed by a elected mayor and city council. As required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, these financial statements present the City and its component units, entities for which the City is considered to be financially accountable. Blended component units, although legally separate entities, are, in substance, part of the City's operations and so data from these units are combined with data of the City, the primary government.

The financial reporting entity consists of a primary government and its component units. A component unit is a legally separate entity that must be included in the reporting entity in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles. The City is a primary government that has a separately elected governing body, is legally separate, and is fiscally independent of other state or local governments. Furthermore, component units combined with the City for financial statement presentation purposes, and the City, are not included in any other governmental reporting entity. Consequently, the City's financial statements include the funds of those organizational entities for which its elected governing body is financially accountable.

South Tucson Municipal Property Corporation. The City of South Tucson, Arizona, Arizona Municipal Property Corporation's (MPC) Board of Directors are appointed by the City of South Tucson, Arizona's City Council. The MPC, which is a nonprofit corporation incorporated under the laws of the State of Arizona, was formed for the sole purpose of assisting the City in obtaining financing for various projects of the City. The MPC debt service is reported within the Debt Service Fund and within the governmental activities in the government-wide statements. Separate financial statements are not prepared on a standalone basis.

JUNE 30, 2015

#### NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

#### B. Government-Wide and Fund Financial Statements

The government-wide financial statements (i.e., the statement of net position and the statement of activities) present financial information about the City as a whole. The reported information includes all of the nonfiduciary activities of the City. For the most part, the effect of internal activity has been removed from these statements. These statements are to distinguish between the governmental and business-type activities of the City. Governmental activities normally are supported by taxes and intergovernmental revenues, and are reported separately from business-type activities, which rely to a significant extent on fees and charges for support. The City did not have any business-type activities during the year.

The statement of activities demonstrates the degree to which the direct expenses of a given function or segment are offset by program revenues. Direct expenses are those that are clearly identifiable with a specific function or segment. Program revenues include 1) charges to customers or applicants who purchase, use, or directly benefit from goods, services, or privileges provided by a given function or segment and 2) grants and contributions that are restricted to meeting the operational or capital requirements of a particular function or segment. City sales taxes, unrestricted state shared revenues, investment income and other items not included among program revenues are reported instead as general revenues.

Separate financial statements are provided for governmental funds and fiduciary funds, even though the latter are excluded from the government-wide financial statements. Major individual governmental funds and the major individual enterprise fund are reported as separate columns in the fund financial statements.

#### C. Measurement Focus, Basis of Accounting, and Financial Statement Presentation

Government-wide Financial Statements - The government-wide financial statements are reported using the economic resources measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recorded when earned and expenses are recorded when a liability is incurred, regardless of the timing of related cash flows. Property taxes are recognized as revenues in the year for which they are levied. Grants and similar items are recognized as revenue as soon as all eligibility requirements imposed by the grantor or provider have been met. As a general rule, the effect of internal activity has been eliminated from the government-wide financial statements; however, the effects of interfund services provided and used between functions are reported as expenses and program revenues at amounts approximating their external exchange value.

<u>Fund Financial Statements</u> - Governmental fund financial statements are reported using the current financial resources measurement focus and the modified accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized as soon as they are both measurable and available. Revenues are considered to be available when they are collectible within the current period or soon enough thereafter to pay liabilities of the current period. For this purpose, the City considers revenues to be available if they are collected within 60 days of the end of the current fiscal period. Expenditures generally are recorded when a liability is incurred, as under accrual accounting. However, debt service expenditures, as well as expenditures related to compensated absences and claims and judgments, are recorded only when payment is due.

JUNE 30, 2015

#### NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

Property taxes, City sales taxes, licenses and permits, charges for services, fines and forfeits, and investment income associated with the current fiscal period are all considered to be susceptible to accrual and have been recognized as revenues of the current fiscal period. Grants and similar awards are recognized as revenue as soon as all eligibility requirements imposed by the grantor or provider have been met. Miscellaneous revenue is not susceptible to accrual because generally they are not measurable until received in cash. Grants and similar awards are recognized as revenue as soon as all eligibility requirements imposed by the grantor or provider have been met. Unearned revenue arise when resources are received by the City before it has legal claim to them, as when grant monies are received prior to meeting all eligibility requirements imposed by the provider.

Delinquent property taxes and other receivables that will not be collected within the available period have been reported as deferred revenue on the governmental fund financial statements. The focus of governmental fund financial statements is on major funds rather than reporting funds by type. Each major fund is presented in a separate column. Non-major funds are aggregated and presented in a single column.

The City reports the following major governmental funds.

<u>General Fund</u> - This fund accounts for all financial resources of the City, except those required to be accounted for in other funds.

Housing Fund - This fund accounts for the operations of the City's public housing program.

CDBG Fund - This fund accounts for activities of the City for CDBG grants.

<u>Youth Operations Center Fund</u> - This fund accounts for activities of the City for the John A. Valenzuela Youth Center to guide and empower community youth through recreational and educational programs.

<u>RICO Fund</u> - This fund accounts for activities of the City in relation to the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act.

Additionally, the City reports the following fund type:

<u>Fiduciary Fund</u> - The Fiduciary Fund is a Pension Trust Fund which accounts for the activities of the Volunteer Firefighters' Relief and Pension Trust Fund (Trust Fund). The Trust Fund accumulates funds for the defined contribution pension plan administered by the City and a board of trustees for the City's volunteer firefighters.

#### D. Cash and Investments

Nonparticipating interest-earning investment contracts are stated at cost. Money market investments and participating interest investment contracts with a remaining maturity of one year or less at time of purchase are stated at amortized cost. All other investments are stated at fair value.

JUNE 30, 2015

#### NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

#### E. Investment Earnings

Investment earnings is composed of interest, dividends, and net changes in the fair value of applicable investments.

#### F. Receivables and Payables

Activity between funds that is representative of lending/borrowing arrangements outstanding at the end of the fiscal year is referred to as either "due to/from other funds" (i.e., the current portion of interfund loans) or "advances to/from other funds" (i.e., the non-current portion of interfund loans). All other outstanding balances between funds are reported as "due to/from other funds."

All trade and property tax receivables, are shown net of an allowance for uncollectibles.

#### G. Property Tax Calendar

The property tax levy, as described in the Arizona State Statutes, is divided into two levies, a primary levy and a secondary levy. Secondary taxes are levied strictly for the retirement and redemption of bonded indebtedness, while the primary levy may be used for any legal operating purpose. The primary property tax levy is limited to a 2% annual increase over the prior year's maximum allowable levy plus an adjustment for properties that were not taxed in the previous year.

Property taxes are levied by the City and collected by the County Treasurer. Real property taxes are levied on or before the third Monday in August, which become due and payable in two equal installments. The first installment is due on the first day of October and becomes delinquent after the first business day of November. The second installment is due on the first day of March of the next year and becomes delinquent after the first business day of May. The billings are considered past due after these dates, at which time the applicable property is subject to penalties and interest.

Pursuant to A.R.S., a lien against assessed real and personal property attaches on the first day of January preceding assessment and levy; however according to case law, an enforceable legal claim to the asset does not arise.

#### H. Inventory

All inventories are valued at cost using the first-in/first-out (FIFO) method. Inventories consist of expendable supplies held for consumption. Inventories are recorded as expenses when consumed on the government-wide financial statements and as expenditures when purchased on the fund financial statements.

#### I. Prepaid Items

Certain payments to vendors reflect costs applicable to future accounting periods and are recorded as prepaid items in the government-wide and fund financial statements. Prepaid items are recorded as expenses when consumed in both the government-wide and fund financial statements.

JUNE 30, 2015

#### NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

#### J. Capital Assets

Capital assets, which include land, land improvements, buildings and improvements, machinery, equipment, and vehicles; construction in progress; and infrastructure assets (e.g. roads, bridges, sidewalks, and similar items), are reported in the governmental activities columns in the government-wide financial statements. Capital assets are defined by the City as assets with an initial, individual cost of \$5,000 or more and an estimated useful life of more than one year. Such assets are recorded at historical cost or estimated historical cost if actual historical cost is not available. Donated capital assets are recorded at estimated fair market value at the date of donation. General government infrastructure capital assets include only those assets acquired or constructed since July 1, 2003.

The costs of normal maintenance and repairs that do not add to the value of the asset or materially extend the life of the asset are not capitalized.

Major outlays for capital assets and improvements are capitalized as projects are constructed. Interest incurred during the construction phase of capital assets of governmental activities is included as part of the capitalized value of the assets constructed.

Certain capital assets of the City are depreciated using the straight-line method over the following estimated useful lives:

<u>Assets</u>	<u>Years</u>
Land improvements	15 - 50
Buildings and improvements	20 - 50
Infrastructure	20 - 75
Machinery, equipment, and vehicles	3 - 15

#### K. Compensated Absences

The City's employee vacation and sick leave policies generally provide for granting vacation and sick leave with pay. Vacation benefits and compensation time vest for employees who currently are eligible to receive termination payments and other employees who are expected to become eligible in the future to receive such payments upon termination. The current and long-term liabilities for accumulated vacation and compensation time are reported on the government-wide financial statements. A liability for these amounts is reported in governmental funds only if they have matured, for example, as a result of employee leave, resignations and retirements. Generally, resources from the General Fund are used to pay for compensated absences.

#### L. Long-term Obligations

In the government-wide financial statements, long-term debt and other long-term obligations are reported as liabilities on the Statement of Net Position. Debt premiums and discounts, as well as issuance costs and the difference between the reacquisition price and the net carrying amount of the old debt, are deferred and amortized over the life of the debt using the straight-line method over the term of the related debt.

JUNE 30, 2015

#### NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

#### M. Interfund Activity

Flows of cash from one fund to another without a requirement for repayment are reported as interfund transfers. Interfund transfers between governmental funds are eliminated in the Statement of Activities. Interfund transfers in the fund statements are reported as other financing sources/uses in governmental funds and after nonoperating revenues/expenses in proprietary funds.

#### N. Estimates

The preparation of the financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results may differ from those estimates.

#### O. Deferred Outflows and Inflows of Resources

The statement of net position and balance sheet include separate sections for deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources. Deferred outflows of resources represent a consumption of net position that applies to future periods that will be recognized as an expense or expenditure in future periods. Deferred inflows of resources represent an acquisition of net position or fund balance that applies to future periods and will be recognized as a revenue in future periods.

#### P. Pensions

Deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions and pension expense are used for purposes of measuring the net pension liabilities. Information about the pension plans' fiduciary net position and additions to/deductions from the plans' fiduciary net position have been determined on the same basis as they are reported by the plans. For this purpose, benefit payments (including refunds of employee contributions) are recognized when due and payable in accordance with the benefit terms. Investments are reported at fair value.

#### O. Restatement of Net Position

Net position as of July 1, 2014, has been restated as follows for the implementation of GASB Statement No. 68, *Accounting and Financial Reporting for Pensions*, as amended by GASB Statement No. 71, *Pension Transition for Contributions Made Subsequent to the Measurement Date*. In addition, net position of government-wide statement has been restated to properly state the beginning accumulated depreciation balances.

	Governmental Activities	
Net Position as previously reported at June 30, 2014	\$	20,239,915
Prior period adjustment-implementation of GASB 68:		
Net pension liability (measurement date as of June 30, 2013)		(9,980,193)
Deferred outflows-City contributions made during fiscal year 2014		587,749
Correction of a misstatement:		
To agree accumulated depreciation to the Housing Department's reporting	_	(1,156,212)
Total prior period adjustment	_	(10,548,656)
Net position as restated, July 1, 2014	\$_	9,691,259

JUNE 30, 2015

#### NOTE 2 - STEWARDSHIP, COMPLIANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY

<u>Individual Deficit Fund Balances</u> - At year end, the following individual major governmental funds reported deficits in fund balance.

	 Deficit			
Major Funds:				
CDBG	\$ 65,518			
Youth Operations Center	99,192			
RICO	157,232			

The deficits arose because of operations during the year and prior years. Deficit balances are a result of accumulated expenditures that are expected to be reimbursed at their completion by the corresponding funding source.

<u>Excess Expenditures Over Budget</u> - At June 30, 2015, the City had expenditures in funds that exceeded the budgets; however, this does not constitute a violation of any legal provisions.

#### NOTE 3 - FUND BALANCE CLASSIFICATIONS

Fund balances of the governmental funds are reported separately within classifications based on a hierarchy of the constraints placed on the use of those resources. The classifications are based on the relative strength of the constraints that control how the specific amounts can be spent. The classifications are nonspendable, restricted, and unrestricted, which includes committed, assigned, and unassigned fund balance classifications.

*Nonspendable.* The nonspendable fund balance classification includes amounts that cannot be spent because they are not in spendable form, or legally or contractually required to be maintained intact.

*Restricted.* Fund balance is reported as restricted when constraints placed on the use of resources are either externally imposed by creditors (such as through debt covenants), grantors, contributors, or laws or regulations of other governments, or is imposed by law through constitutional provisions or enabling legislation.

*Unassigned*. Unassigned fund balance is the residual classification for the General Fund and includes all spendable amounts not contained in the other classifications. In other governmental funds, the unassigned classification is used only to report a deficit balance resulting from overspending for specific purposes for which amounts had been restricted, committed, or assigned.

The City applies restricted resources first when expenditures are incurred for purposes for which either restricted or unrestricted (committed, assigned, and unassigned) amounts are available. Similarly, within unrestricted fund balance, committed amounts are reduced first followed by assigned, and then unassigned amounts when expenditures are incurred for purposes for which amounts in any of the unrestricted fund balances classifications could be used.

#### NOTE 3 - FUND BALANCE CLASSIFICATIONS (CONTINUED)

The table below provides detail of the major components of the City's fund balance classifications at year end.

	Ger	eral Fund	Ноц	ising Fund	CD	BG Fund	OI	Youth perations Fund	R	ICO Fund		on-major vernmental Fund
Fund Balances:												
Nonspendable:												
Inventory			\$	5,106								
Prepaid items				26,644								
Restricted:												
Public safety											\$	62,479
Highways and streets												120,670
Economic development												3,995
Redevelopment and												
housing				206,098								
Debt services	\$	603,950										
Unassigned		153,781			\$	(65,518)	\$	(99,192)	\$	(157,232)	_	
Total fund balances	\$	757,731	\$	237,848	\$	(65,518)	\$	(99,192)	\$	(157,232)	\$	187,144

The July 1, 2014 fund balances in the Non-Major Governmental Funds do not agree to the prior year financial statements as a result of the reclassification of Grant Fund no longer meeting the definition of a special revenue fund as defined in GASB Statement No. 54. The Grant Fund has been included in the Non-major Governmental Fund.

			N	Ion-major
			Government	
	G	Grant Fund		Funds
Fund balance, June 30, 2014,				
as previously reported	\$	78,137	\$	70,154
Fund reclassification		(78,137)		78,137
Fund balance, July 1, 2014	\$		\$	148,291

JUNE 30, 2015

#### **NOTE 4 - CASH AND INVESTMENTS**

A.R.S. authorize the City to invest public monies the State Treasurer's local government investment pools, the County Treasurer's investment pool, in obligations of the U.S. Government and its agencies, obligations of the State and certain local government subdivisions, interest-bearing savings accounts and certain other securities. The statutes do not include any requirements for credit risk, custodial credit risk, concentration of credit risk, interest rate risk, or foreign currency risk for the City's investments.

Custodial Credit Risk – Deposits. In the case of deposits, this is the risk that in the event of bank failure, the City's deposits may not be returned. As of June 30, 2015, the carrying amount of deposits was \$692,705 and the bank balance was \$926,732. As of June 30, 2015, the City's deposits were fully insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation or collateralized by the Arizona State Treasurer pooled collateral program.

The State Treasurer's pools are external investment pools, the Local Government Investment Pool (Pool 5), with no regulatory oversight. The pools are not required to register (and are not registered) with the Securities and Exchange Commission. The activity and performance of the pools are reviewed monthly by the State Board of Investment. The fair value of each participant's position in the State Treasurer investment pools approximates the value of the participant's shares in the pool and the participants' shares are not identified with specific investments.

At year end, the City's investments consisted of the following.

Investment Type	Maturities	F	air Value
U.S. Treasuries	Less than one year	\$	603,950
State Treasurer's investment pool 5			26,109
Total		\$	630,059

*Interest Rate Risk* - The City does not have a formal investment policy that limits investment maturities as a means of managing its exposure to fair value losses arising from increasing interest rates.

*Credit Risk* - The City has no investment policy that would further limit its investment choices. The State Treasurer's investment pool 5 was rated AAAF/Sl+ by Standard and Poor's at year end.

Custodial Credit Risk - Investments. The City's investment in the State Treasurer's investment pool represents a proportionate interest in the pool's portfolio; however, the City's portion is not identified with specific investments and is not subject to custodial credit risk.

#### **NOTE 5 - CAPITAL ASSETS**

Capital asset activity for the year ended June 30, 2015, was as follows.

Governmental activities:	July 1, 2014 as restated	Increases	Decreases	June 30, 2015
Capital assets not being depreciated:			•	
Land	\$ <u>315,962</u>		\$	\$ <u>315,962</u>
Total capital assets not being depreciated	315,962			315,962
Capital assets being depreciated:				
Land improvements	2,970,748			2,970,748
Buildings and improvements	12,072,864	\$ 237,925		12,310,789
Infrastructure	28,556,406			28,556,406
Machinery, equipment and vehicles	4,140,129	24,874		4,165,003
Total capital assets being depreciated	47,740,147	262,799		48,002,946
Less accumulated depreciation for:				
Land improvements	(1,207,951)	(94,388)		(1,302,339)
Buildings and improvements	(6,853,993)	(329,120)		(7,183,113)
Infrastructure	(10,589,849)	(817,315)		(11,407,164)
Machinery, equipment and vehicles	(3,122,557)	(182,385)		(3,304,942)
Total accumulated depreciation	(21,774,350)	(1,423,208)		(23,197,558)
Total capital assets being depreciated, net	25,965,797	(1,160,409)		24,805,388
Governmental activities capital assets, net	\$ 26,281,759	\$ (1,160,409)	\$	\$ 25,121,350

Depreciation expense was charged to governmental activities' functions as follows:

Governmental activities:	
General government	\$ 325,271
Public safety	152,046
Highways and streets	645,998
Redevelopment and housing	 299,893
Total depreciation expense -	
governmental activities	\$ 1,423,208

JUNE 30, 2015

#### NOTE 6 - LONG-TERM DEBT

The following is a summary of changes in long-term debt of the City for the year ended June 30, 2015:

	July 1, 2014						Γ	ue Within
Governmental activities:	as restated		Additions	R	Reductions	June 30, 2015		1 Year
Revenue bonds payable	\$ 7,635,000		_	\$	(145,000)	\$ 7,490,000	\$	155,000
Deferred bond discount	<u>(742,544</u> )	_		_	32,282	<u>(710,262</u> )	_	
Net bonds payable	6,892,456				(112,718)	6,779,738		155,000
Capital leases payable	273,272				(54,049)	219,223		56,415
Compensated absences payable	185,274	\$	124,104		(114,723)	194,655		106,950
Net pension liabilities	9,980,193		2,017,418			11,997,611		
Claims and judgments	147,521		102,479			250,000		250,000
Other commitments	984,560			_		984,560		91,992
Governmental activities long-term liabilities	\$ <u>18,463,276</u>	\$_	2,244,001	\$_	(281,490)	\$ <u>20,425,787</u>	\$_	660,357

Revenue bonds currently outstanding are as follows:

	Original Amount Issued	Interest Rate	Remaining Maturities	Outstanding Principle June 30, 2015	Due Within One Year
Governmental activities:					
Excise Tax Revenue Bond, Series					
2007A and 2007B	\$ 7,905,000	4.10-4.95%	7/1/15-37	\$ <u>7,490,000</u>	\$ <u>155,000</u>
Total				\$ <u>7,490,000</u>	\$ 155,000

The City has pledged future excise taxes and state shared revenues to repay outstanding revenue bonds of \$7.5 million as of June 30, 2015. Proceeds from the original bond issuances provided financing for (1) the refund of certain excise tax revenue bonds issued by South Tucson Municipal Property Corporation on behalf of the City of South Tucson, Arizona, (2) to finance public works and other City vehicles and equipment, (3) to fund a debt service reserve requirement for the Series 2007 Obligations, and (4) pay costs of execution and delivery of the Series 2007 Obligations. The bonds are payable through 2037. The total principal and interest to be paid on the bonds is \$13.7 million. The current total excise taxes and state shared revenues were \$3.7 million and the total principal and interest paid on the bonds was \$599,313, or 15% of gross revenues.

Other commitments are composed of a long-term agreement with the Pima County Sheriff's department for service fees and interest charges for housing prisoners. In October 2013, Pima County and the City entered into a settlement agreement whereby \$806,155 of fees and interest charges were forgiven. The remaining balance of \$984,560 will be paid in 10 equal installments of \$106,760 over a 10 year period, with interest at 1.5% per annum.

Compensated absences accrue during each pay period at a rate determined by years of service. Both vacation and personal time can be taken off from work, within certain limitations, or may be payable to employees upon termination or retirement. Claims and judgments are generally paid from the fund that accounts for the activity that gave rise to the claim.

JUNE 30, 2015

#### NOTE 6 - LONG-TERM DEBT (CONTINUED)

Principal and interest payments on the governmental activities revenue bonds payable at year-end are summarized as follows:

		Governmental Activities							
		Bond Payable				Other Commitment			
Year ending June 30:		Principal		Interest		Principal		Interest	
2016	\$	155,000	\$	445,431	\$	91,992	\$	14,768	
2017		165,000		435,937		93,372		13,389	
2018		175,000		425,831		94,773		11,988	
2019		185,000		415,112		96,194		10,566	
2020		200,000		403,781		97,637		9,123	
2021-25		1,185,000		1,820,762		510,592		23,206	
2026-30		1,605,000		1,409,775					
2031-35		2,155,000		855,156					
2036-37	_	1,665,000		146,150			_		
Total	\$_	7,490,000	\$_	6,357,935	\$	984,560	\$_	83,040	

#### NOTE 7 - OBLIGATIONS UNDER LEASES

<u>Capital Leases</u> - The City has acquired vehicles under the provisions of long-term lease agreements classified as capital leases. These lease agreements qualify as capital leases for accounting purposes and, therefore, have been recorded at the present value of their future minimum lease payments as of the inception date.

The assets acquired through capital leases are as follows:

	Go	Governmental		
		Activities		
Vehicles and equipment	\$	296,125		
Less: Accumulated depreciation		(45,000)		
Carrying value	\$	251,125		

The future minimum lease obligation and the net present value of the minimum lease payments as of June 30, 2015, were as follows:

Year ending June 30:	
2016	\$ 64,722
2017	64,722
2018	64,722
2019	43,148
Total minimum payments required	237,314
Less amount representing interest	 (18,091)
Present value of minimum lease payments	\$ 219,223

JUNE 30, 2015

#### NOTE 7 - OBLIGATIONS UNDER LEASES (CONTINUED)

<u>Operating Leases</u> - The City leases office equipment under the provisions of long-term lease agreements classified as operating leases for accounting purposes. Rental expenditures under the terms of the operating leases totaled \$6,649 for the current fiscal year. The future minimum rental payments required under the operating leases at year end were as follows:

Year ending June 30:	
2016	\$ 9,748
2017	9,298
2018	9,298
2019	 9,298
Total minimum payments required	\$ 37,642

#### NOTE 8 - INTERFUND BALANCES, PAYABLES AND TRANSFERS

The composition of interfund balances as of June 30, 2015, is listed below.

	Due from										
			Youth			Non-Major					
				O	perations			Go	vernmental		
Due to	General Fund	CI	DBG Fund	Ce	enter Fund	R	ICO Fund		Funds		Total
General Fund		\$	121,480	\$	81,490	\$	180,204	\$	27,003	\$	410,177
Non-major											
Governmental Funds	\$ <u>149,786</u>	_	3,750	_	27,542			_		_	181,078
Total	\$ <u>149,786</u>	\$_	125,230	\$	109,032	\$_	180,204	\$_	27,003	\$_	591,255

At year end, several funds had negative cash balances in the pooled cash accounts. Negative cash on deposit was reduced by interfund borrowing with other funds.

#### NOTE 9 - CONTINGENT LIABILITIES

During the fiscal years ending June 30, 2012 through 2014, the City levied and collected approximately \$1.8 million of secondary property taxes without obtaining the required voter approval. The City may need to reduce its primary tax levy in the future or issue refunds as a remedy for excessive property tax collected.

The City is a defendant in a number of lawsuits and claims as of June 30, 2015. It is the opinion of management and the City's legal counsel, reasonable possible and probable losses not covered by insurance from these proceedings total \$250,000 at June 30, 2015.

JUNE 30, 2015

#### NOTE 10 - RISK MANAGEMENT

The City is exposed to various risks of loss related to torts; theft of, damage to, and destruction of assets; errors and omissions; injuries to employees; and natural disasters.

The City's insurance protection is provided by the Arizona Municipal Risk Retention Pool, of which the City is a participating member. The limit for basic coverage is \$2.0 million per occurrence on a claims made basis. Excess coverage is for an additional \$2.0 million per occurrence on a follow form, claims made basis. The Arizona Municipal Risk Retention Pool is structured such that member premiums are based on an actuarial review that will provide adequate reserves to allow the Pool to meet its expected financial obligations. The Pool has the authority to assess its members' additional premiums should reserves and annual premiums be insufficient to meet the Pool's obligations. No significant reduction in insurance coverage occurred during the year and no settlements exceeded insurance coverage during any of the past three fiscal years.

The City is insured by Arizona Municipal Workers Compensation Pool for potential worker related accidents.

#### NOTE 11 - PENSIONS AND OTHER POSTEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS

The City contributes to the three plans described below and on the following pages. The plans are component units of the State of Arizona. At June 30, 2015, the City reported the following aggregate amounts related to pensions for all plans to which it contributes:

Statement of Net Position and	Government
Statement of Activities	Activities
Net pension liabilities	\$ 11,997,611
Deferred outflows of resources	1,970,959
Deferred inflows of resources	535,897
Pension expense	1,795,780

The City's accrued payroll and employee benefits includes \$36,317 of outstanding pension contribution amounts payable to all pension plans for the year ended June 30, 2015. Also, the City reported \$633,682 of pension contributions as expenditures in the governmental funds related to all pension plans to which it contributes.

#### A. Arizona State Retirement System

**Plan Description** - City employees not covered by the other pension plans described below participate in the Arizona State Retirement System (ASRS). The ASRS administers a cost-sharing multiple-employer defined benefit pension plan, a cost-sharing multiple-employer defined benefit health insurance premium benefit (OPEB) plan, and a cost-sharing multiple-employer defined benefit long-term disability (OPEB) plan. The Arizona State Retirement System Board governs the ASRS according to the provisions of A.R.S. Title 38, Chapter 5, Articles 2 and 2.1. The ASRS issues a publicly available financial report that includes its financial statements and required supplementary information. The report is available on its web-site at www.azasrs.gov.

JUNE 30, 2015

#### NOTE 11 - PENSIONS AND OTHER POSTEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS (CONTINUED)

Benefits Provided - The ASRS provides retirement, health insurance premium supplement, long-term disability, and survivor benefits. State statute establishes benefits terms. Retirement benefits are calculated on the basis of age, average monthly compensation, and service credit as follows:

ASRS	Retirement Initial membership date:				
•	Before July 1, 2011	On or after July 1, 2011			
Years of service	Sum of years and age equals 80	30 years age 55			
and age required	10 years age 62	25 years age 60			
to receive benefit	5 years age 50*	10 years age 62			
	any years age 65	5 years age 50*			
		any years age 65			
Final average salary is	Highest 36 consecutive months	Highest 60 consecutive months			
based on	of last 120 months	of last 120 months			
Benefit percent per year					
of service	2.1% to 2.3%	2.1% to 2.3%			
*With actuarially reduced benefits.					

Retirement benefits for members who joined the ASRS prior to September 13, 2013, are subject to automatic cost-of-living adjustments based on excess investment earnings. Members with a membership date on or after September 13, 2013, are not eligible for cost-of-living adjustments. Survivor benefits are payable upon a member's death. For retired members, the survivor benefit is determined by the retirement benefit option chosen. For all other members, the beneficiary is entitled to the member's account balance that includes the member's contributions and employer's contributions, plus interest earned.

Contributions - In accordance with state statutes, annual actuarial valuations determine active member and employer contribution requirements. The combined active member and employer contribution rates are expected to finance the costs of benefits employees earn during the year, with an additional amount to finance any unfunded accrued liability. For the year ended June 30, 2015, active ASRS members were required by statute to contribute at the actuarially determined rate of 11.6 percent (11.48 percent for retirement and 0.12 percent for long-term disability) of the members' annual covered payroll, and the City was required by statute to contribute at the actuarially determined rate of 11.6 percent (10.89 percent for retirement, 0.59 percent for health insurance premium benefit, and 0.12 percent for long-term disability) of the active members' annual covered payroll. The City's contributions for the current and 2 preceding years for OPEB, all of which were equal to the required contributions, were as follows:

ASRS	Heal	th Benefit	L	ong-Term
	Sup	plement	Γ	Disability
Year ended June 30,		Fund		Fund
2015	\$	6,357	\$	1,293
2014		6,558		2,663
2013		7,216		2,663

During fiscal year 2015, the City paid for ASRS and OPEB contributions from the General Fund.

JUNE 30, 2015

#### NOTE 11 - PENSIONS AND OTHER POSTEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS (CONTINUED)

**Pension Liability** - At June 30, 2015, the City reported a liability of \$1,794,262 for its proportionate share of the ASRS' net pension liability. The net pension liability was measured as of June 30, 2014. The total pension liability used to calculate the net pension liability was determined using update procedures to roll forward the total pension liability from an actuarial valuation as of June 30, 2013, to the measurement date of June 30, 2014.

The City's reported liability at June 30, 2015, decreased by \$283,310 from the City's prior year liability of \$2,077,572 because of changes in the ASRS' net pension liability and the City's proportionate share of that liability. The ASRS' publicly available financial report provides details on the change in the net pension liability.

The City's proportion of the net pension liability was based on the City's actual contributions to the plan relative to the total of all participating employers' contributions for the year ended June 30, 2014. The City's proportion measured as of June 30, 2014, was 0.012126 percent, which was an decrease of 0.000371 percent from its proportion measured as of June 30, 2013.

**Pension Expense and Deferred Outflows/Inflows of Resources -** For the year ended June 30, 2015, the City recognized pension expense for ASRS of \$99,329. At June 30, 2015, the City reported deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions from the following sources:

ASRS	I	Deferred	]	Deferred
	Οι	utflows of		Inflows
	R	esources	of	Resources
Differences between expected and actual experience	\$	91,190		_
Net difference between projected and actual earnings on				
pension plan investments			\$	313,761
Changes in proportion and differences between City				
contributions and proportionate share of contributions				43,107
City contributions subsequent to the measurement date		112,807	_	
Total	\$	203,997	\$	356,868

The \$112,807 reported as deferred outflows of resources related to ASRS pensions resulting from City contributions subsequent to the measurement date will be recognized as a reduction of the net pension liability in the year ended June 30, 2016. Other amounts reported as deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to ASRS pensions will be recognized in pension expense as follows:

Year ending June 30,	
2016	\$ (57,535)
2017	(57,535)
2018	(72,169)
2019	(78.439)

JUNE 30, 2015

#### NOTE 11 - PENSIONS AND OTHER POSTEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS (CONTINUED)

**Actuarial Assumptions -** The significant actuarial assumptions used to measure the total pension liability are as follows:

ASRS	
Actuarial valuation date	June 30, 2013
Actuarial roll forward date	June 30, 2014
Actuarial cost method	Entry age normal
Investment rate of return	8%
Projected salary increases	3–6.75%
Inflation	3%
Permanent benefit increase	Included
Mortality rates	1994 GAM Scale BE

Actuarial assumptions used in the June 30, 2013, valuation were based on the results of an actuarial experience study for the 5-year period ended June 30, 2012.

The long-term expected rate of return on ASRS pension plan investments was determined to be 8.79 percent using a building-block method in which best-estimate ranges of expected future real rates of return (expected returns, net of pension plan investment expense and inflation) are developed for each major asset class. These ranges are combined to produce the long-term expected rate of return by weighting the expected future real rates of return by the target asset allocation percentage and by adding expected inflation. The target allocation and best estimates of arithmetic real rates of return for each major asset class are summarized in the following table:

ASRS		Long-Term
	Target	Expected Real
Asset Class	Allocation	Rate of Return
Equity	63%	7.03%
Fixed income	25%	3.20%
Real estate	8%	4.75%
Commodities	4%	4.50%
Total	100%	•

**Discount Rate** - The discount rate used to measure the ASRS total pension liability was 8 percent, which is less than the long-term expected rate of return of 8.79 percent. The projection of cash flows used to determine the discount rate assumed that contributions from participating employers will be made based on the actuarially determined rates based on the ASRS Board's funding policy, which establishes the contractually required rate under Arizona statute. Based on those assumptions, the pension plan's fiduciary net position was projected to be available to make all projected future benefit payments of current plan members. Therefore, the long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was applied to all periods of projected benefit payments to determine the total pension liability.

JUNE 30, 2015

#### NOTE 11 - PENSIONS AND OTHER POSTEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS (CONTINUED)

Sensitivity of the City's Proportionate Share of the ASRS Net Pension Liability to Changes in the Discount Rate - The following table presents the City's proportionate share of the net pension liability calculated using the discount rate of 8 percent, as well as what the City's proportionate share of the net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is one percentage point lower (7 percent) or one percentage point higher (9 percent) than the current rate:

ASRS		Current	
	1% Decrease (7%)	Discount Rate (8%)	1% Increase (9%)
The City's proportionate share			
of the net pension liability	\$ 2,267,856	\$ 1,794,262	\$ 1,537,314

**Pension Plan Fiduciary Net Position** - Detailed information about the pension plan's fiduciary net position is available in the separately issued ASRS financial report.

#### **B. Public Safety Personnel Retirement System (PSPRS)**

**Plan descriptions -** The City's police and fire employees who are regularly assigned hazardous duty participate in the Public Safety Personnel Retirement System (PSPRS). The PSPRS administers an agent multiple-employer defined benefit pension plan and a agent multiple-employer defined benefit health insurance premium benefit (OPEB) plan (agent plans). A seven-member board known as the Board of Trustees and the participating local boards govern the PSPRS according to the Provisions of A.R.S. Title 38, Chapter 5, Article 4.

The PSPRS issues publicly available financial reports that include their financial statements and required supplementary information. The reports are available on the PSPRS web-site at www.psprs.com.

**Benefits Provided** - The PSPRS provides retirement, health insurance premium supplement, disability, and survivor benefits for public safety personnel who are regularly assigned hazardous duty. State statute establishes benefits terms. Retirement, disability, and survivor benefits are calculated on the basis of age, average monthly compensation, and service credit as follows:

JUNE 30, 2015

#### NOTE 11 - PENSIONS AND OTHER POSTEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS (CONTINUED)

PSPRS	Initial membership date:			
	Before January 1, 2012	On or after January 1, 2012		
Retirement and Disability: Years of service and age required to receive benefit	20 years any age 15 years age 62	25 years and age 52.5		
Final average salary is based on	Highest 36 consecutive months of last 20 years	Highest 60 consecutive months of last 20 years		
Benefit percent Normal Retirement	50% less 2.0% for each year of credited service less than 20 years OR plus 2.0% to 2.5% for each year of credited service over 20 years, not to exceed 80%	2.5% per year of credited service, not to exceed 80%		
Accidental Disability Retirement	50% or normal retireme	ent, whichever is greater		
Catastrophic Disability Retirement	90% for the first 60 months to or normal retirement,			
Ordinary Disability Retirement	Normal retirement calculated with actual years of credited service of 20 years of credited service, whichever is greater, multiplied by years of credited service (not to exceed 20 years) divided by 20			
Survivor Benefit:	•	•		
Retired Members	80% of retired members	per's pension benefit		
Active Members	80% of accidental disability retirement benefit or 100% of average monthly compensation if death was the result of injuries received of the job			

**Employees Covered by Benefit Terms** - At June 30, 2015, the following employees were covered by the agent pension plans' benefit terms:

	PSPRS -	PSPRS -
_	Police	Fire
Inactive employees or beneficiaries currently receiving benefits	15	6
Inactive employees entitled to but not yet receiving benefits	2	1
Active employees	14	3
Total	31	10

JUNE 30, 2015

#### NOTE 11 - PENSIONS AND OTHER POSTEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS (CONTINUED)

Contributions and Annual OPEB Cost - State statutes establish the pension contribution requirements for active PSPRS employees. In accordance with state statutes, annual actuarial valuations determine employer contribution requirements for the PSPRS pension and health insurance premium benefits. The combined active member and employer contribution rates are expected to finance the costs of benefits employees earn during the year, with an additional amount to finance any unfunded accrued liability. Contributions rates for the year ended June 30, 2015, are indicated below. Rates are a percentage of active members' annual covered payroll.

	PSPRS -	PSPRS -
	Police	Fire
Active members—Pension	11.05%	11.05%
City		
Pension	69.81%	53.59%
Health insurance premium benefit	2.20%	3.13%

For the agent plans, the City's contributions to the pension plan and annual OPEB cost and contributions for the health insurance premium benefit for the year ended June 30, 2015, were:

	PSPRS -	PSPRS -	
	 Police	 Fire	
Pension			
Contributions made	\$ 409,161	\$ 83,294	
Health Insurance Premium Benefit			
Annual OPEB cost	12,897	4,946	
Contributions made	12,897	4,946	

During fiscal year 2015, the City paid for PSPRS and OPEB contributions from the General Fund.

**Pension Liability** - At June 30, 2015, the City reported a net pension liability of \$10,022,232.

The net pension liability was measured as of June 30, 2014, and the total pension liability used to calculate the net pension liability was determined by an actuarial valuation as of that date. The total pension liability as of June 30, 2014, reflects the wage growth actuarial assumption change from 4.5 percent to 4.0 percent.

JUNE 30, 2015

#### NOTE 11 - PENSIONS AND OTHER POSTEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS (CONTINUED)

**Pension Actuarial Assumptions -** The significant actuarial assumptions used to measure the total pension liability are as follows:

PSPRS - Pension

Actuarial valuation date June 30, 2014
Actuarial cost method Entry age normal

Discount rate 7.85%
Projected salary increases 4.0%–8.0%
Inflation 4.0%
Permanent benefit increase Included

Mortality rates RP-2000 mortality table (adjusted by 105% for both males and females)

Actuarial assumptions used in the June 30, 2014, valuation were based on the results of an actuarial experience study for the 5-year period ended June 30, 2011.

The long-term expected rate of return on PSPRS pension plan investments was determined to be 7.85 percent using a building-block method in which best-estimate ranges of expected future real rates of return (expected returns, net of pension plan investment expenses and inflation) are developed for each major asset class. The target allocation and best estimates of geometric real rates of return for each major asset class are summarized in the following table:

	Long-Term Expected
Target Allocation	Real Rate of Return
2%	3.25%
4%	6.75%
4%	6.04%
7%	4.75%
8%	5.96%
10%	5.73%
11%	9.50%
11%	6.50%
13%	8.00%
14%	8.63%
16%	7.60%
100%	=
	2% 4% 4% 7% 8% 10% 11% 11% 13% 14%

**Pension Discount Rate -** The discount rate used to measure the PSPRS total pension liability was 7.85 percent.

The projection of cash flows used to determine the PSPRS discount rate assumed that plan member contributions will be made at the current contribution rate and that employer contributions will be made at rate equal to the difference between the actuarially determined contribution rate and the member rate. Based on those assumptions, the pension plans' fiduciary net position was projected to be available to make all projected future benefit payments of current plan members. Therefore, the long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was applied to all periods of projected benefit payments to determine the total pension liability.

JUNE 30, 2015

#### NOTE 11 - PENSIONS AND OTHER POSTEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS (CONTINUED)

Changes in the Net Pension Liability PSPRS - Police	Total Pension Liability (Asset) (a)	Increase (Decrease) Plan Fiduciary Net Position (b)	Net Pension Liability (Asset) (a) – (b)
Balances at June 30, 2014	\$ 6,678,757	\$ 234,158	\$ 6,444,599
Changes for the year: Service cost Interest on the total pension liability Changes of benefit terms Differences between expected and actual	119,965 506,492 320,282		119,965 506,492 320,282
experience in the measurement of the pension liability Changes of assumptions or other inputs Contributions—employer Contributions—employee Net investment income	(141,838) 1,233,249	420,896 66,539 30,627	(141,838) 1,233,249 (420,896) (66,539) (30,627)
Benefit payments, including refunds of employee contributions Administrative expense Other changes	(573,234)	(573,234) (247) 1,423	247 (1,423)
Net changes Balances at June 30, 2015	1,464,916 \$ 8,143,673	\$\frac{(53,996)}{180,162}	1,518,912 \$ 7,963,511
Changes in the Net Pension Liability PSPRS - Fire	Total Pension Liability (Asset)	Increase (Decrease) Plan Fiduciary Net Position	Net Pension Liability (Asset)
	(a)	(b)	(a) - (b)
Balances at June 30, 2014 Changes for the year:	\$ 2,789,563	\$ 1,418,362	\$ 1,371,201
Service cost	30,423		30,423
Interest on the total pension liability	210,418		210,418
Changes of benefit terms  Differences between expected and actual experience in the measurement of the	92,636		92,636
pension liability	145,266		145,266
Changes of assumptions or other inputs	451,472		451,472
Contributions—employer		44,137	(44,137)
Contributions—employee		16,767	(16,767)
Net investment income		183,267	(183,267)
Benefit payments, including refunds of employee contributions Administrative expense	(248,574)	(248,574) (1,476)	1,476
Other changes Net changes	681,641	(5,879)	687,520
Balances at June 30, 2015	<u></u>	(3,017)	007,520

JUNE 30, 2015

#### NOTE 11 - PENSIONS AND OTHER POSTEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS (CONTINUED)

**Sensitivity of the City's Net Pension Liability to Changes in the Discount Rate** - The following table presents the City's net pension liability calculated using the discount rate noted above, as well as what the City's net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is one percentage point lower or one percentage point higher than the current rate:

		<b>Current Discount</b>	
	1% Decrease	Rate	1% Increase
PSPRS - Police:			
Rate	6.85%	7.85%	8.85%
Net pension liability	8,833,196	7,963,511	7,228,526
PSPRS - Fire:			
Rate	6.85%	7.85%	8.85%
Net pension liability	2,462,358	2,058,721	1,722,857

**Pension Plan Fiduciary Net Position** - Detailed information about the pension plans' fiduciary net position is available in the separately issued PSPRS financial reports.

**Pension Expense** - For the year ended June 30, 2015, the City recognized pension expense for PSPRS of \$1,626,306.

**Pension Deferred Outflows/Inflows of Resources** - At June 30, 2015, the City reported deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions from the following sources:

PSPRS - Police		rred Outflows Resources		rred Inflows Resources
5100	01	Resources		
Differences between expected and actual experience			\$	105,632
Changes of assumptions or other inputs	\$	918,444		
Net difference between projected and actual earnings on				
pension plan investments				10,018
City contributions subsequent to the measurement date		409,161		
Total	\$	1,327,605	\$	115,650
		_		
PSPRS - Fire		rred Outflows Resources		rred Inflows Resources
PSPRS - Fire  Differences between expected and actual experience				
Differences between expected and actual experience	of	Resources		
Differences between expected and actual experience Changes of assumptions or other inputs	of	Resources 73,590		
Differences between expected and actual experience	of	Resources 73,590		
Differences between expected and actual experience Changes of assumptions or other inputs Net difference between projected and actual earnings on	of	Resources 73,590	of l	Resources

JUNE 30, 2015

### NOTE 11 - PENSIONS AND OTHER POSTEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS (CONTINUED)

The amounts reported as deferred outflows of resources related to pensions resulting from City contributions subsequent to the measurement date will be recognized as a reduction of the net pension liability (or an increase in the net pension asset) in the year ended June 30, 2016. Other amounts reported as deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions will be recognized in pension expense as follows:

	PSPRS -	PSPRS -
	 Police	 Fire
Year ending June 30,		
2016	\$ 276,095	\$ 279,452
2017	276,095	(7,124)
2018	253,111	(14,986)
2019	(2,507)	(14,987)

**Agent Plan OPEB Trend Information -** The table below presents the annual OPEB cost information for the health insurance premium benefit for the current and 2 preceding years:

Year Ended June 30,	An	nual OPEB Cost	Percentage of Annual Cost Contributed	let OPEB Obligation
PSPRS - Police:		Cost	Contributed	 ongunon
2015	\$	12,897	100%	\$ 0
2014		15,279	100%	0
2013		15,687	100%	0
PSPRS - Fire:				
2015		4,946	100%	0
2014		7,931	100%	0
2013		9,174	100%	0

**Agent Plan OPEB Actuarial Assumptions** - The health insurance premium benefit contribution requirements for the year ended June 30, 2015, were established by the June 30, 2013, actuarial valuations, and those actuarial valuations were based on the following actuarial methods and assumptions.

Actuarial valuations involve estimates of the reported amounts' value and assumptions about the probability of events in the future. Amounts determined regarding the funded status of the plans and the annual required contributions are subject to continual revision as actual results are compared to past expectations and new estimates are made. The required schedule of funding progress for the health insurance premium benefit presented as required supplementary information provides multiyear trend information that shows whether the actuarial value of the plan assets are increasing or decreasing over time relative to the actuarial accrued liability for benefits.

JUNE 30, 2015

#### NOTE 11 - PENSIONS AND OTHER POSTEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS (CONTINUED)

Projections of benefits are based on (1) the plan as understood by the City and plan members and include the types of benefits in force at the valuation date, and (2) the pattern of sharing benefit costs between the City and the plan members to that point. Actuarial calculations reflect a long-term perspective and employ methods and assumptions that are designed to reduce short-term volatility in actuarial accrued liabilities and the actuarial value of assets. The significant actuarial methods and assumptions used are the same for all PSPRS plans and related benefits (unless noted), and the actuarial methods and assumptions used to establish the fiscal year 2015 contribution requirements, are as follows:

PSPRS - OPEB Contribution Requirements

Actuarial valuation date	June 30, 2013
Actuarial cost method	Entry age normal
Amortization method	Level percent closed for unfunded actuarial accrued liability, open for excess
Remaining amortization period	23 years for unfunded actuarial accrued liability, 20 years for excess
Asset valuation method	7-year smoothed market value; 20% corridor
Actuarial assumptions:	
Investment rate of return	7.85%
Projected salary increases	4.5%-8.5%
Wage growth	4.5%

**Agent Plan OPEB Funded Status** - The following table presents the funded status of the health insurance premium benefit plans as of the most recent valuation date, June 30, 2015.

	PSPRS - Police	PSPRS - Fire
Actuarial value of assets (a)	\$ 98,870	\$ 84,338
Actuarial accrued liability (b)	181,452	60,841
Unfunded actuarial accrued liability (funding excess) (b) – (a)	82,582	(23,497)
Funded ratio (a)/(b)	54.49 %	138.62 %
Annual covered payroll (c)	660,582	157,793
Unfunded actuarial accrued liability (funding excess) as a		
percentage of covered payroll $(b) - (a) / (c)$	12.50 %	(14.89)%

JUNE 30, 2015

#### NOTE 11 - PENSIONS AND OTHER POSTEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS (CONTINUED)

The funded status of all the PSPRS health insurance premium benefit plans in the June 30, 2015, actuarial valuation was determined using the following actuarial methods and assumptions, applied to all periods included in the measurement:

#### **PSPRS - OPEB Funded Status**

	T 00 0015
Actuarial valuation date	June 30, 2015
Actuarial cost method	Entry age normal
Amortization method	Level percent closed for unfunded actuarial accrued liability, open for excess
Remaining amortization period	21 years for unfunded actuarial accrued liability, 20 years for excess
Asset valuation method	7-year smoothed market value; 20% corridor
Actuarial assumptions:	
Investment rate of return	7.85%
Projected salary increases	4%-8%
Wage growth	4%

#### C. Elected Officials Retirement Plan

**Plan description** - Elected officials and judges participate in the Elected Officials Retirement Plan (EORP). EORP administers a cost-sharing multiple-employer defined benefit pension plan and a cost-sharing multiple-employer defined benefit health insurance premium benefit (OPEB) plan for elected officials and judges who were members of the plan on December 31, 2013. This plan was closed to new members as of January 1, 2014. The PSPRS Board of Trustees governs the EORP according to the provisions of A.R.S. Title 38, Chapter 5, Article 3. The EORP issues a publicly available financial report that includes its financial statements and required supplementary information. The report is available on PSPRS's web-site at www.psprs.com.

**Benefits provided** - The EORP provides retirement, health insurance premium supplement, disability, and survivor benefits. State statute establishes benefit terms. Retirement, disability, and survivor benefits are calculated on the basis of age, average yearly compensation, and service credit as follows:

JUNE 30, 2015

#### NOTE 11 - PENSIONS AND OTHER POSTEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS (CONTINUED)

EORP Initial membership date:

	Before January 1, 2012	On or after January 1, 2012
Retirement and Disabil	ity:	
Years of service and age required to receive benefit	20 years any age 10 years age 62 5 years age 65 5 years any age* any years and age if disabled	10 years age 62 5 years age 65 any years and age if disabled
Final average salary is based on	Highest 36 consecutive months of last 10 years	Highest 60 consecutive months of last 10 years
Benefit percent Normal Retirement	4% per year of service, not to exceed 80%	3% per year of service, not to exceed 75%
Disability Retirement	80% with 10 or more years of service 40% with 5 to 10 years of service 20% with less than 5 years of service	75% with 10 or more years of service 37.5% with 5 to 10 years of service 18.75% with less than 5 years of service
Survivor Benefit: Retired Members	75% of retired member's benefit	50% of retired member's benefit
Active Members and Other Inactive Members	75% of disability retirement benefit	50% of disability retirement benefit

<sup>\*</sup> With reduced benefits of 0.25% for each month early retirement precedes the member's normal retirement age, with a maximum reduction of 30%.

Retirement and survivor benefits are subject to automatic cost-of-living adjustments based on excess investment earning.

Contribution - State statutes establish active member and employer contribution requirements. Statute also appropriates \$5 million annually through fiscal year 2043 for the EORP from the State of Arizona to supplement the normal cost plus an amount to amortize the unfunded accrued liability. For the year ended June 30, 2015, active EORP members were required by statute to contribute 13 percent of the members' annual covered payroll, and the City was required to contribute a designated portion of certain court fees and 23.5 percent of active EORP members' annual covered payroll. The City's contributions to the pension plan for the year ended June 30, 2015, were \$3,952. No OPEB contributions were required or made for the year ended June 30, 2015.

JUNE 30, 2015

#### NOTE 11 - PENSIONS AND OTHER POSTEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS (CONTINUED)

The City's OPEB contributions for the current and 2 preceding years, all of which were equal to the required contributions, were as follows:

EORP for OPEB	Health	
	In	surance
Year ending June 30,		Fund
2015	\$	-
2014		314
2013		300

During fiscal year 2015, the City paid for EORP pension contributions from the General Fund.

**Pension liability** - At June 30, 2015, the City reported a liability for its proportionate share of the EORP's net pension liability that reflected a reduction for the City's proportionate share of the State's appropriation for EORP. The amount the City recognized as its proportionate share of the net pension liability, the related state support, and the total portion of the net pension liability that was associated with the City were as follows:

City's proportionate share of the EORP net	
pension liability	\$ 181,117
State's proportionate share of the EORP net	
pension liability associated with the City	 55,532
Total	\$ 236,649

The net pension liability was measured as of June 30, 2014, and the total pension liability used to calculate the net pension liability was determined by an actuarial valuation as of that date. In February 2014, the Arizona Supreme Court affirmed a Superior Court ruling that a 2011 law that changed the mechanism for funding permanent benefit increases was unconstitutional. As a result, for the June 30, 2014, actuarial valuation, the plan changed benefit terms to reflect the prior mechanism for funding permanent benefit increases and revised actuarial assumptions to explicitly value future permanent benefit increases.

The City's proportion of the net pension liability as of June 30, 2013 and 2014, was based on the City's actual contributions to the plan relative to the total of all participating employers' actual contributions for the year ended June 30, 2014. The City's proportion measured as of June 30, 2013 and 2014, was 0.0270094 percent.

**Pension expense and deferred outflows/inflows of resources** - For the year ended June 30, 2015, the City recognized pension expense for EORP of \$70,145 and revenue of \$16,460 for the City's proportionate share of the State's appropriation to EORP. At June 30, 2015, the City reported deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions from the following sources:

JUNE 30, 2015

#### NOTE 11 - PENSIONS AND OTHER POSTEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS (CONTINUED)

EORP	Deferred Outflows of Resources		Deferred Inflow of Resources	
Differences between expected and actual experience	\$	796		
Changes of assumptions or other inputs		49,015		
Net difference between projected and actual earnings on				
pension plan investments			\$	3,434
City contributions subsequent to the measurement date		3,952		
Total	\$	53,763	\$	3,434

The \$3,952 reported as deferred outflows of resources related to EORP pensions resulting from City contributions subsequent to the measurement date will be recognized as a reduction of the net pension liability in the year ending June 30, 2016. Other amounts reported as deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to EORP pensions will be recognized in pension expense as follows:

Year ending June 30,	
2016	\$ 27,828
2017	20,266
2018	(858)
2019	(859)

**Actuarial assumptions** - The significant actuarial assumptions used to measure the total pension liability are as follows:

$\mathbf{T}^{2}$	$\sim$	D	n
Н.	( )	к	ч

Actuarial valuation date June 30, 2014
Actuarial cost method Entry age normal

Discount rate 7.85%
Projected salary increases 4.25%
Inflation 4.0%
Permanent benefit increase Included

Mortality rates RP-2000 mortality table projected to 2025 with projection scale AA

Actuarial assumptions used in the June 30, 2014, valuation were based on the results of an actuarial experience study for the 5-year period ended June 30, 2011.

The long-term expected rate of return on EORP pension plan investments was determined to be 7.85 percent using a building-block method in which best-estimate ranges of expected future real rates of return (expected returns, net of pension plan investment expenses and inflation) are developed for each major asset class. The target allocation and best estimates of geometric real rates of return for each major asset class are summarized in the following table:

NOTE 11 - PENSIONS AND OTHER POSTEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS (CONTINUED)

EORP		Long-Term Expected
Asset Class	Target Allocation	Real Rate of Return
Short term investments	2%	3.25%
Absolute return	4%	6.75%
Risk parity	4%	6.04%
Fixed income	7%	4.75%
Real assets	8%	5.96%
GTAA	10%	5.73%
Private equity	11%	9.50%
Real estate	11%	6.50%
Credit opportunities	13%	8.00%
Non-U.S. equity	14%	8.63%
U.S. equity	16%	7.60%
Total	100%	<del>-</del> -

**Discount rate** - At June 30, 2014, the discount rate used to measure the EORP total pension liability was 5.67 percent, which was a decrease of 2.18 from the discount rate used as of June 30, 2013. The projection of cash flows used to determine the discount rate assumed that plan member contributions will be made at the current contribution rate, employer contributions will be made at the statutorily set rates, and state contributions will be made as currently required by statute. Based on those assumptions, the pension plan's fiduciary net position was projected to be insufficient to make all projected future benefit payments of current plan members. Therefore, to determine the total pension liability for the plan, the long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments of 7.85 percent was applied to periods of projected benefit payments through the year ended June 30, 2030. A municipal bond rate of 4.29 percent obtained from the 20-year Bond Buyer Index, as published by the Federal Reserve as of June 30, 2014, was applied to periods of projected benefit payments after June 30, 2030.

Sensitivity of the City's proportionate share of the EORP net pension liability to changes in the discount rate - The following table presents the City's proportionate share of the net pension liability calculated using the discount rate of 5.67 percent, as well as what the City's proportionate share of the net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is one percentage point lower (4.67 percent) or one percentage point higher (6.67 percent) than the current rate:

EORP	Current						
	1% Decrease	Discount Rate	1% Increase				
_	(4.67%)	(5.67%)	(6.67%)				
The City's proportionate share							
of the net pension liability	\$ 211,440	\$ 181,117	\$ 155,515				

**Pension Plan Fiduciary Net Position** - Detailed information about the pension plan's fiduciary net position is available in the separately issued EORP financial report.

OTHER REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

## CITY OF SOUTH TUCSON, ARIZONA BUDGETARY COMPARISON SCHEDULE - GENERAL FUND YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2015

		Original and nal Budget	_	Actual Amounts	_	Variance Positive (Negative)
REVENUES						
Property taxes	\$	53,545	\$	120,559	\$	67,014
City sales taxes		2,468,110		2,276,260		(191,850)
License and permits		357,795		327,626		(30,169)
Intergovernmental revenue		1,420,030		1,438,310		18,280
Charges for services		259,830		99,124		(160,706)
Fines and forfeits		300,000		209,822		(90,178)
Investment income				5,485		5,485
Miscellaneous	_	109,590	_	194,922	_	85,332
Total revenues	_	4,968,900	_	4,672,108	-	(296,792)
EXPENDITURES						
General government:						
Administration				6,265		(6,265)
City manager		450,095		318,975		131,120
Mayor and Council		40,440		27,418		13,022
City clerk		122,911		124,375		(1,464)
Finance		171,806		175,669		(3,863)
Information technologies		99,786		87,738		12,048
Planning and zoning		163,856		155,900		7,956
Public buildings		13,500		28,611		(15,111)
Non-departmental		275,879		364,508		(88,629)
Total general governments		1,338,273	_	1,289,459	-	48,814
Public safety:		1,000,270	_	1,207,.07	-	.0,01
Magistrate Court		226,665		250,953		(24,288)
Fire department		773,730		759,215		14,515
Police department		1,899,535		1,840,405		59,130
Total public safety		2,899,930	-	2,850,573	-	49,357
Highways and streets:		2,000,000	-	2,000,010	-	17,557
Public works		110,362		96,021		14,341
Total highways and streets	_	110,362	-	96,021	-	14,341
Debt services	_	620,335	-	622,086	-	(1,751)
Total expenditures	_	4,968,900	-	4,858,139	-	110,761
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over (under)	_	4,700,700	-	4,030,137	-	110,701
expenditures				(186,031)		(186,031)
Fund balance, beginning of year			_	943,762	_	943,762
Fund balance, end of year	<b>\$</b>		\$_	757,731	\$	757,731

## CITY OF SOUTH TUCSON, ARIZONA BUDGETARY COMPARISON SCHEDULE - HOUSING FUND YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2015

		Original and Final Budget		Actual Amounts		Variance Positive (Negative)
REVENUES						
Intergovernmental revenue	\$	2,001,040	\$	1,468,157	\$	(532,883)
Investment income				53		53
Rents		245,620		341,218		95,598
Miscellaneous			_	15,041	_	15,041
Total revenues		2,246,660	_	1,824,469	_	(422,191)
EXPENDITURES						
Redevelopment and housing		2,358,005	_	2,090,078	_	267,927
Total expenditures		2,358,005		2,090,078		267,927
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over (under)		(111 245)		(265,600)		(154.264)
expenditures		(111,345)		(265,609)		(154,264)
Fund balance, beginning of year	_	111,345	_	503,457	_	392,112
Fund balance, end of year	<b>\$_</b>		\$_	237,848	\$_	237,848

## CITY OF SOUTH TUCSON, ARIZONA BUDGETARY COMPARISON SCHEDULE - CDBG FUND YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2015

	iginal and nal Budget		Actual Amounts		Variance Positive (Negative)
REVENUES					
Intergovernmental revenue	\$ 200,000	\$	181,823	\$_	(18,177)
Total revenues	 200,000	_	181,823	-	(18,177)
EXPENDITURES					
Redevelopment and housing	 200,000	_	191,143	_	8,857
Total expenditures	 200,000	_	191,143	_	8,857
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over (under) expenditures			(9,320)		(9,320)
Fund balance, beginning of year			(56,198)	_	(56,198)
Fund balance, end of year	\$	\$	(65,518)	\$_	(65,518)

# CITY OF SOUTH TUCSON, ARIZONA $\mbox{BUDGETARY COMPARISON SCHEDULE - YOUTH OPERATIONS CENTER FUND } \\ \mbox{YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2015}$

	Original and Final Budget	Actual Amounts	Variance Positive (Negative)
REVENUES			
Intergovernmental revenue	\$ <u>113,000</u>	\$ <u>226,000</u>	\$ <u>113,000</u>
Total revenues	113,000	226,000	113,000
EXPENDITURES			
Culture and recreation	113,000	117,939	(4,939)
Total expenditures	113,000	117,939	(4,939)
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over (under) expenditures		108,061	108,061
Fund balance, beginning of year		(207,253)	(207,253)
Fund balance, end of year	\$	\$ <u>(99,192</u> )	\$ (99,192)

# CITY OF SOUTH TUCSON, ARIZONA BUDGETARY COMPARISON SCHEDULE - RICO FUND YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2015

REVENUES		Original and Final Budget	_	Actual Amounts	_	Variance Positive (Negative)
Intergovernmental revenue	\$	100,000	\$	83,560	\$	(16,440)
Miscellaneous	Ψ	100,000	Ψ	18,231	Ψ	18,231
Total revenues	=	100,000	-	101,791	-	1,791
EXPENDITURES						
Public safety		100,000		158,050		(58,050)
Capital outlay				3,088		(3,088)
Debt services	_		_	50,853	_	(50,853)
Total expenditures	_	100,000	_	211,991	_	(111,991)
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over (under)						
expenditures				(110,200)		(110,200)
Fund balance, beginning of year			_	(47,032)	_	(47,032)
Fund balance, end of year	<b>\$_</b>		\$_	(157,232)	\$_	(157,232)

# CITY OF SOUTH TUCSON, ARIZONA NOTES TO BUDGETARY COMPARISON SCHEDULES JUNE 30, 2015

#### Note 1 - Budgetary Basis of Accounting

The adopted budget of the City is prepared on a basis consistent with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America with the following exception. A portion of the General Fund activity is budgeted for separately as special revenue and capital projects funds. Consequently, certain adjustments were necessary to present actual expenditures, fund balance at July 1, 2014, and fund balance at June 30, 2015 on a budgetary basis in order to provide a meaningful comparison.

#### Note 2 - Excess Expenditures over Budget

At year end, the City had expenditures in the Youth Operations Center Fund and RICO Fund that exceeded the budgets; however, this does not constitute a violation of any legal provisions.

# CITY OF SOUTH TUCSON, ARIZONA SCHEDULE OF PROPORTIONATE SHARE OF THE NET PENSION LIABILITY JUNE 30, 2015

ASRS	Reporting Fiscal Year (Measurement Date)				
		2015 (2014)	2014 through 2006		
City's proportion of the net pension liability		0.0121%	Information		
City's proportionate share of the net pension liability	\$	1,794,262	not available		
City's covered-employee payroll	\$	1,144,019			
City's proportionate share of the net pension liability as a percentage of its covered-employee payroll		156.84 %			
Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total pension liability		69.49 %			
EORP		Reporting 1	Fiscal Year		
		(Measurer	nent Date)		
		2015 (2014)	2014 through 2006		
City's proportion of the net pension liability		0.02700%	Information		
City's proportionate share of the net pension liability	\$	181,117	not available		
State's proportionate share of the net pension liability					
associated with the City	.—	55,532			
Total	\$_	236,649			
City's covered-employee payroll	\$	20,160			
City's proportionate share of the net pension liability as a percentage of its covered-employee payroll		1,173.85 %			
Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total pension liability		31.91 %			

### CITY OF SOUTH TUCSON, ARIZONA

# SCHEDULE OF CHANGES IN THE NET PENSION LIABILITY AND RELATED RATIOS AGENT PENSION PLANS

#### JUNE 30, 2015

PSPRS - Police		Reporting Fiscal Year (Measurement Date)						
		2015 (2014)	2014 through 2006					
Total pension liability:								
Service cost	\$	119,965	Information					
Interest on the total pension liability		506,492	not available					
Changes of benefit terms		320,282						
Differences between expected and actual experience in the measurement of the pension liability		(141,838)						
Changes of assumptions or other inputs		1,233,249						
Benefit payments, including refunds of employee								
contributions		(573,234)						
Net change in total pension liability		1,464,916						
Total pension liability—beginning		6,678,757						
Total pension liability—ending (a)	\$_	8,143,673						
Plan fiduciary net position:								
Contributions—employer	\$	420,896						
Contributions—employee		66,539						
Net investment income		30,627						
Benefit payments, including refunds of employee								
contributions		(573,234)						
Administrative expense		(247)						
Other changes		1,423						
Net change in plan fiduciary net position		(53,996)						
Plan fiduciary net position—beginning	_	234,158						
Plan fiduciary net position—ending (b)	\$	180,162						
	_							
City's net pension liability (asset)—ending (a) – (b)	\$	7,963,511						
Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total								
pension liability		2.21 %						
Covered-employee payroll	\$	646,705						
City's net pension liability (asset) as a percentage of								
covered-employee payroll		1,231.40 %						

### CITY OF SOUTH TUCSON, ARIZONA

# SCHEDULE OF CHANGES IN THE NET PENSION LIABILITY AND RELATED RATIOS AGENT PENSION PLANS

### JUNE 30, 2015

## (CONTINUED)

PSPRS - Fire	Reporting Fiscal Year (Measurement Date)						
		2015 (2014)	2014 through 2006				
Total pension liability:		_	_				
Service cost	\$	30,423	Information				
Interest on the total pension liability		210,418	not available				
Changes of benefit terms		92,636					
Differences between expected and actual experience in the measurement of the pension liability		145,266					
Changes of assumptions or other inputs		451,472					
Benefit payments, including refunds of employee		,					
contributions		(248,574)					
Net change in total pension liability		681,641					
Total pension liability—beginning		2,789,563					
Total pension liability—ending (a)	\$	3,471,204					
DI CIL :							
Plan fiduciary net position:	Ф	44 107					
Contributions—employer	\$	44,137					
Contributions—employee		16,767					
Net investment income		183,267					
Benefit payments, including refunds of employee							
contributions		(248,574)					
Administrative expense	_	(1,476)					
Net change in plan fiduciary net position		(5,879)					
Plan fiduciary net position—beginning	_	1,418,362					
Plan fiduciary net position—ending (b)	\$_	1,412,483					
City's net pension liability (asset)—ending (a) – (b)	\$	2,058,721					
Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total pension liability	•	40.69 %					
Covered-employee payroll	\$	166,427					
City's net pension liability (asset) as a percentage of covered-employee payroll		1,237.01 %					

# CITY OF SOUTH TUCSON, ARIZONA SCHEDULE OF PENSION CONTRIBUTIONS

JUNE 30, 2015

ASRS	Reporting Fiscal Year							
		2015	2014	2013 through 2006				
Statutorily required contribution	\$		\$ 117,507	Information not available				
City's contributions in relation to the statutorily required contribution		112,807	117,507	not avanable				
City's contribution deficiency (excess)	\$		\$					
City's covered-employee payroll City's contributions as a percentage of	\$	1,062,705	\$ 1,144,019					
covered-employee payroll		10.62 %	10.27 %					
PSPRS - Police		·						
		2015	2014	2013 through 2006				
Actuarially determined contribution	\$		\$ 422,649	Information				
City's contributions in relation to the actuarially determined contribution		409,161	422,649	not available				
City's contribution deficiency (excess)	\$		\$					
City's covered-employee payroll	\$	587,388	\$ 646,705					
City's contributions as a percentage of covered-employee payroll		69.66 %	65.35 %					
PSPRS - Fire		R	eporting Fiscal Year	•				
PSPRS - Fire				2013 through				
Actuarially determined contribution	\$	2015	2014 \$ 43,930	2013 through 2006 Information				
Actuarially determined contribution City's contributions in relation to the	\$	2015 83,294	2014 \$ 43,930	2013 through 2006				
Actuarially determined contribution City's contributions in relation to the actuarially determined contribution City's contribution deficiency (excess)	\$ \$	2015 83,294 83,294	2014	2013 through 2006 Information				
Actuarially determined contribution City's contributions in relation to the actuarially determined contribution City's contribution deficiency (excess) City's covered-employee payroll		2015 83,294 83,294	2014 \$ 43,930 43,930	2013 through 2006 Information				
Actuarially determined contribution City's contributions in relation to the actuarially determined contribution City's contribution deficiency (excess)	\$	2015 83,294 83,294	2014 \$ 43,930 43,930 \$	2013 through 2006 Information				
Actuarially determined contribution City's contributions in relation to the actuarially determined contribution City's contribution deficiency (excess) City's covered-employee payroll City's contributions as a percentage of	\$	2015 83,294 83,294 - 158,399 52.58 %	2014 \$ 43,930 \$ \$ 166,427	2013 through 2006  Information not available				
Actuarially determined contribution City's contributions in relation to the actuarially determined contribution City's contribution deficiency (excess) City's covered-employee payroll City's contributions as a percentage of covered-employee payroll	\$	2015 83,294 83,294 - 158,399 52.58 %	2014 \$ 43,930 \$	2013 through 2006  Information not available  2013 through				
Actuarially determined contribution City's contributions in relation to the actuarially determined contribution City's contribution deficiency (excess) City's covered-employee payroll City's contributions as a percentage of covered-employee payroll	\$	2015 83,294 83,294 - 158,399 52.58 % Recommended to the second control of the seco	2014 \$ 43,930 \$ - \$ 166,427 26.40 %	2013 through 2006  Information not available				
Actuarially determined contribution City's contributions in relation to the actuarially determined contribution City's contribution deficiency (excess) City's covered-employee payroll City's contributions as a percentage of covered-employee payroll EORP Statutorily required contribution City's contributions in relation to the	\$ <u></u>	2015 83,294 83,294 	2014 \$ 43,930 \$ - \$ 166,427 26.40 % eporting Fiscal Year 2014 \$ 6,301	2013 through 2006  Information not available  2013 through 2006				
Actuarially determined contribution City's contributions in relation to the actuarially determined contribution City's contribution deficiency (excess) City's covered-employee payroll City's contributions as a percentage of covered-employee payroll EORP  Statutorily required contribution City's contributions in relation to the statutorily required contribution	\$ <u></u>	2015 83,294 83,294 - 158,399 52.58 % Reconstruction of the second	2014 \$ 43,930 \$ - \$ 166,427 26.40 % eporting Fiscal Year	2013 through 2006  Information not available  2013 through 2006  Information				
Actuarially determined contribution City's contributions in relation to the actuarially determined contribution City's contribution deficiency (excess) City's covered-employee payroll City's contributions as a percentage of covered-employee payroll EORP Statutorily required contribution City's contributions in relation to the	\$\$ \$	2015 83,294 83,294 - 158,399 52.58 % Ro 2015 3,952 - 3,952	2014 \$ 43,930 \$ - \$ 166,427 26.40 % eporting Fiscal Year 2014 \$ 6,301 6,301	2013 through 2006  Information not available  2013 through 2006  Information				

## CITY OF SOUTH TUCSON, ARIZONA NOTES TO PENSION PLAN SCHEDULES

JUNE 30, 2015

#### NOTE 1 – ACTUARIALLY DETERMINED CONTRIBUTION RATES

Actuarial determined contribution rates for PSPRS are calculated as of June 30 two years prior to the end of the fiscal year in which contributions are made. The actuarial methods and assumptions used to establish the contribution requirements are as follows:

Actuarial cost method Entry age normal

Level percent closed for unfunded actuarial accrued liability, open Amortization method

for excess

Remaining amortization period as

of the 2013 actuarial valuation

excess

Asset valuation method

7-year smoothed market value; 20% corridor

Actuarial assumptions: Investment rate of return

decreased from 8.0% to 7.85%

Projected salary increases In the 2013 actuarial valuation, projected salary increases were

decreased from 5.0%-9.0% to 4.5%-8.5%

In the 2013 actuarial valuation, wage growth was decreased from Wage growth

5.0% to 4.5% for PSPRS

Experience-based table of rates that is specific to the type of Retirement age

> eligibility condition. Last updated for the 2012 valuation pursuant to an experience study of the period July 1, 2006 - June 30, 2011.

> 23 years for unfunded actuarial accrued liability, 20 years for

In the 2013 actuarial valuation, the investment rate of return was

Mortality RP-2000 mortality table (adjusted by 105% for both males and

females)

# CITY OF SOUTH TUCSON, ARIZONA SCHEDULE OF AGENT OPEB PLANS' FUNDING PROGRESS JUNE 30, 2015

#### Health Insurance Premium Benefit - PSPRS Police:

						Jnfunded actuarial				UAAL (funding
						accrued				excess)
						liability				as a
	1	Actuarial	1	Actuarial	(	(UAAL)			Annual	percentage of
		value of		accrued	(	(funding			covered	covered
Actuarial		assets		liability		excess)	Fu	nded ratio	payroll	payroll
Valuation Date		(a)		(b)		(b) – (a)		(a)/(b)	 (c)	(b) - (a)/(c)
6/30/15	\$	98,870	\$	181,452	\$	82,582		54.49 %	\$ 660,582	12.50 %
6/30/14		88,959		183,010		94,051		48.61 %	642,885	14.63 %
6/30/13		0		175,644		175,644		0.00 %	626,179	28.05 %

#### Health Insurance Premium Benefit - PSPRS Fire:

					U	Infunded				UAAL
					ä	actuarial				(funding
						accrued				excess)
						liability				as a
	P	Actuarial	Α	ctuarial	(	(UAAL)			Annual	percentage of
	,	value of	á	accrued	(	(funding			covered	covered
Actuarial		assets	]	iability		excess)	Fun	ded ratio	payroll	payroll
Valuation Date		(a)		(b)	(	(b) - (a)	(	(a)/(b)	(c)	(b) - (a)/(c)
6/30/15	\$	84,338	\$	60,841	\$	(23,497)		138.62 %	\$ 157,793	(14.89)%
6/30/14		80,966		96,337		15,371		84.04 %	160,129	9.60 %
6/30/13		0		73,493		73,493		0.00 %	160,581	45.77 %

#### CITY OF SOUTH TUCSON, ARIZONA

#### NOTES TO SCHEDULE OF AGENT OPEB PLANS' FUNDING PROGRESS

JUNE 30, 2015

#### NOTE 1 - FACTORS THAT AFFECT THE IDENTIFICATION OF TRENDS

Beginning in fiscal year 2014, PSPRS established separate funds for pension benefits and health insurance premium benefits. Previously, the plans recorded both pension and health insurance premium contributions in the same Pension Fund. During fiscal year 2014, the plans transferred prior-year health insurance premium benefit contributions that exceeded benefit payments from each plan's Pension Fund to the new Health Insurance Fund.

